arXiv:1603.08361v3 [math.RT] 15 Jun 2016

ON THE SECOND FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF INVARIANT THEORY FOR THE ORTHOSYMPLECTIC SUPERGROUP

YANG ZHANG

Abstract. The first fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthosymplectic supergroup $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ (where V has superdimension $(m|2n)$) in the endomorphism algebra setting states that there is a surjective algebra homomorphism $F_r^r : B_r(m-2n) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V^{\otimes r})$ from the Brauer algebra of degree r to the endomorphism algebra of $V^{\otimes r}$ over $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$. The second fundamental theorem in this setting seeks to describe $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ as a 2-sided ideal of $B_r(m-2n)$. We show that $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r \neq 0$ if and only if $r \geq r_c := (m+1)(n+1)$, and present a basis and a dimension formulae for $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$. As a 2-sided ideal, $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ for any $r \geq r_c$ is generated by $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$, for which a set of generators is explicitly constructed in terms of Brauer diagrams. As applications of these results, we obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for the endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{osp}(\mathrm{V})}(\mathrm{V}^{\otimes \mathrm{r}})$ over the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$ to be isomorphic to $B_r(m-2n)$, and give new proofs for the main theorems in recent papers of G. Lehrer and R. Zhang on the second fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthogonal and symplectic groups.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ be the orthosymplectic supergroup on an orthosymplectic vector superspace V of superdimension $(m|2n)$. G. Lehrer and R. Zhang [20] (also see [6]) constructed a full tensor functor F from the Brauer category $\mathcal{B}(m-2n)$ with parameter $m-2n$ to the category of tensor modules for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$. When restricted to homomorphism spaces $B_k^\ell(m-2n)$ of $\mathcal{B}(m-2n)$, the functor gave rise to surjective linear maps $F_k^\ell : B_k^\ell(m-2n) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes \ell})$. Lehrer and Zhang [21] gave a description of the kernel of F_k^ℓ for all k and ℓ. These results amount to the first and second fundamental theorems (FFT and SFT) of invariant theory for the orthosymplectic supergroup in a category theoretical setting (for a different treatment of the fundamental theorems, see [25, 26]).

Particularly interesting is when $k = \ell = r$. In this case, the morphism space $B_r^r(m-2n)$ acquires the structure of a unital associative algebra with the composition of morphisms as the multiplication. This is the same algebra introduced by Brauer [2] in the 30s when studying tensor decompositions for the orthogonal and symplectic groups, which is now known as the Brauer algebra. Now $F_r^r : B_r^r(m-2n) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V^{\otimes r})$ is a surjective algebra homomorphism, which is a generalisation of the celebrated Schur-Weyl-Brauer duality to the orthosymplectic supergroup. It in particular allows one to study decompositions of tensor representations of $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ through the representation theory of the Brauer algebra [4, 10].

This paper is devoted to further developing the SFT for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ given in [21]. Decomposition of tensor representations of $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ requires deeper understanding of the FFT and SFT, in particular, properties of the homomorphism spaces $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes l})$ and the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W22,15A72,17B20.

Key words and phrases. Orthosymplectic Lie supergroup, Brauer category, invariant theory, second fundamental theorem.

maps F_k^ℓ. The simplest problems are to construct a basis for $\mathrm{Ker}F_k^\ell$ and also to work out its dimension. However solutions can not be easily extracted from [20, 21]. In fact even the much simpler problem, that for what values of r one has $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r \neq 0$, turned out to be nontrivial. It was conjectured in [21] that this happens if and only if $r \geq r_c := (m+1)(n+1)$, which was only proved in the case of $\mathrm{OSp}(1|2)$.

As $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ is a 2-sided ideal of $B_r^r(m-2n)$, one would like to have a convenient set of generators for it. This is required, e.g., when constructing a presentation for the endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V^{\otimes r})$. In the classical case of the orthogonal and simplectic groups (i.e., $n=0$ or $m=0$), it was shown in [18, 19] (see also [14]) that $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ is singly generated, and the generator was constructed explicitly. One would also like to understand the algebraic structure of $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ in the orthosymplectic case along the lines of [18, 19], though the problem is much more complicated now.

We will solve all the above problems for the orthosymplectic supergroup, obtaining a significantly improved SFT for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$, see Theorem 5.11.

Now we describe the content of the paper in more details.

We will follow the categorical approach of [19]. We consider the natural Sym_{2r}-action on $B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$, which can be generalised to all $B_k^l(m-2n)$ with $k+l=2r$ by using the fact that these spaces are isomorphic to $B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$. Then $\mathrm{Ker}F_k^l$ is an Sym_{2r}-submodule of $B_k^l(m-2n)$, and all $\mathrm{Ker}F_k^l$ with $k+l=2r$ are isomorphic (see Remark 3.6). We will be particularly interested in $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ because of its algebraic structure.

We show that $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ is a multiplicity-free direct sum of Specht modules for $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Sym}_{2r}$, and identify the simple submodules explicitly. This in particular determines the dimension of $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$, and enables us to deduce that $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ is nonzero if and only if $r \geq r_c := (m+1)(n+1)$. The results are given in Theorem 3.14 (see also Lemma 5.1).

For any $r \geq r_c$, there is a canonical embedding of the Brauer algebra of degree r_c in the Brauer algebra of degree r (see Remark 2.5). This restricts to an embedding of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ in $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$, and hence $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ is the simplest to study. We show that $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ is isomorphic to the Specht module for Sym_{2r_c} corresponding to the partition $\lambda_c := ((2n+2)^{m+1}) \vdash 2r_c$. A basis for $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ is obtained in Theorem 3.24 with the basis elements indexed by standard tableaux on λ_c.

We introduce combinatorial gadgets which we call standard sequences of increasing types, and use them to construct a set of elements of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$, which are generalisations of the E_i introduced in [18] in the context of the orthogonal group. We show in Theorem 4.16 that the set generates $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ as a 2-sided ideal of $B_{r_c}^{r_c}(m-2n)$. In the construction of the generating set, we use relations among elements in $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ arising from Ganir relations (see e.g., [15, §7.2]) to reduce the number of generators. Traditionally Ganir relations were used to express polytabloids in terms of standard ploytabloids.

We construct a basis for $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ in Theorem 5.2 for any $r \geq r_c$. We show in Proposition 5.4 that $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ is singly generated as a left $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Sym}_{2r}$-module, from which we deduce that the image of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ under the canonical embedding generates $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ as a 2-sided ideal of $B_r^r(m-2n)$. Therefore the images of the generators of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ constructed in Theorem 4.16 form a generating set for $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$. These results are given in Theorem 5.8 and Theorem 5.9.

In the case of $\mathrm{OSp}(1|2n)$, we prove in Theorem 6.3 that the kernel $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ is singly generated as a 2-sided ideal of $B_r^r(1-2n)$, similar to the situation of the orthogonal and symplectic groups [18, 19]. The generator is constructed explicitly. For general $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$, we do not know whether $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ is singly generated, but we feel that the answer probably is negative.

We consider two applications of the general results on the SFT of $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ obtained here.

We obtain in Theorem 5.12 the necessary and sufficient conditions for the Brauer algebra $B_r^r(m-2n)$ to be isomorphic to the endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{osp}(V)}(V^{\otimes r})$ of $V^{\otimes r}$ over the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$. This answers completely the isomorphism question which Ehrig and Stroppel set out to address in [9], thus considerably strengthens their main result [9, Theorem A].

We recover the results in the papers [18, 19] of Lehrer and Zhang on SFTs of the orthogonal and symplectic groups as special cases of results proved here for the orthosymplectic supergroup. This contextualises the treatment in those papers and provides uniform and simpler proofs for all main theorems.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Professors Gus Lehrer and Ruibin Zhang for many enlightening discussions and helpful suggestions.

2. Invariant theory of the orthosymplectic supergroup

We recall the first and second fundamental theorems of invariant theory for the orthosymplectic supergroup given in [20, 21]. We work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$ throughout this paper.

2.1. **Tensor representations of the orthosymplectic supergroup.** Let $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ be a complex vector superspace with superdimension $\mathrm{sdim}(V) = (m|\ell)$, which means that $\dim(V_{\bar{0}}) = m$ and $\dim(V_{\bar{1}}) = \ell$. The *parity* $[v]$ of any homogeneous element $v \in V_{\bar{i}}$ is defined by $[v] := \bar{i}$ $(i = 0, 1)$. Denote by $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ the general linear super group superscheme on V.

Assume that V admits a non-degenerate even bilinear form

$$(-,-) : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \tag{2.1}$$

which is supersymmetric in the sense that $(u, v) = (-1)^{[u][v]}(v, u)$ for $u, v \in V$. This implies that the form is symmetric on $V_{\bar{0}} \times V_{\bar{0}}$ and skew-symmetric on $V_{\bar{1}} \times V_{\bar{1}}$, and satisfies $(V_{\bar{0}}, V_{\bar{1}}) = 0 = (V_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{0}})$. In this case, we must have $\mathrm{sdim}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = (m|\ell)$ with $\ell = 2n$ being even. The orthosymplectic super group superscheme $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ is the closed subgroup superscheme of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, which preserves the bilinear form (2.1).

Write $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V)$, and let $\mathbb{C}[G]$ be the coordinate superagebra of G. This is a super commutative Hopf superalgebra. We denote its comultiplication by Δ, counit by ϵ and antipode by S. Then G can be described as the functor from the category of super commutative superalgebras to the category of sets represented by $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

A linear representation of the super group superscheme G is a co-representation of the coordinate superagebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ associated with some right comodule. A right $\mathbb{C}[G]$-comodule M is a vector superspace endowed with an even linear map $\delta : M \to M \otimes \mathbb{C}[G]$ satisfying the conditions $(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}[G]})\delta = (\mathrm{id}_M \otimes \Delta)\delta$ and $(\mathrm{id}_M \otimes \epsilon)\delta = \mathrm{id}_M$. The map on the right hand side of the second relation is $v \mapsto v \otimes 1$ for all $v \in M$. The subspace of G-invariants in M is $M^G := \{v \in M \mid \delta(v) = v \otimes 1\}$.

Another formulation of the orthosymplectic supergroup is in terms of Harish-Chandra super pair [3, 7]. Let $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$ be the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra [17] preserving the bilinear form (2.1). Let $\mathrm{O}(V_{\bar{0}})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(V_{\bar{1}})$ be the orthogonal and symplectic groups, which are the isometry algebraic groups preserving the restrictions of the form (2.1) to $V_{\bar{0}}$ and to $V_{\bar{1}}$ respectively. Then $\mathrm{OSp}(V)_0 := \mathrm{O}(V_{\bar{0}}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(V_{\bar{1}})$ naturally acts on $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$ as automorphisms, and we have the Harish-Chandra super pair $(\mathrm{OSp}(V)_0, \mathfrak{osp}(V))$. One may regard this as the

orthosymplectic supergroup [7]. Call $\mathrm{OSp}(V)_0$ the even subgroup of $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$. We will adopt the Harish-Chandra super pair formulation of the orthosymplectic supergroup in this paper.

Hereafter $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ denotes the Harish-Chandra super pair $(\mathrm{OSp}(V)_0, \mathfrak{osp}(V))$ and we will refer to it as the orthosymplectic supergroup.

An $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$-module M is defined to be a module for the Harish-Chandra super pair, namely, M is a vector superspace that is a module for both $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$ and $\mathrm{OSp}(V)_0$ (as an algebraic group) such that the two actions are compatible with the action of $\mathrm{OSp}(V)_0$ on $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$. Note that the $\mathrm{OSp}(V)_0$-action on M is semi-simple, and so is also the action of the even subalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(V)_{\bar{0}} := \mathfrak{so}(V_{\bar{0}}) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(V_{\bar{1}})$. The subspace of invariants is given by

$$M^{\mathrm{OSp}(V)} = \{v \in M \mid gv = v, \quad Xv = 0, \quad \forall\, g \in \mathrm{OSp}(V)_0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{osp}(V)\}\,.$$

If N is another $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$-module, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)$ is naturally an $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$-module with the action defined for any $g \in \mathrm{OSp}(V)_0$ and $X \in \mathfrak{osp}(V)$ on $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)$ by

$$(g.\phi)(v) = g\phi(g^{-1}v), \quad (X.\phi)(v) = X\phi(v) - (-1)^{[X][\phi]}\phi(Xv), \quad \forall v \in M.$$

The second equation is clearly defined for homogeneous X and ϕ, and is extended to inhomogeneous elements by linearity as usual.

Lemma 2.1. *The space* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)^{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}$ *is purely even.*

To see this, note that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of M is induced by some element h in the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$ in the following sense: if two eigenvectors of h belong to the same homogeneous subspace $M_{\bar{i}}$, their eigenvalues differ by an even integer; if two eigenvectors of h belong to $M_{\bar{0}}$ and $M_{\bar{1}}$ respectively, then their eigenvalues differ by an odd integer. The same is also true for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading of N. Hence h-invariance requires $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)^{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}$ be purely even. We will write $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, N)^{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}$.

We are largely interested in the following category of $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$-representations.

Definition 2.2 ([20])**.** Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V)$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$-modules with objects $V^{\otimes r}$ $(r = 0, 1, \dots)$, and call it the *category of tensor representations of* $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$.

The usual tensor product of $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$-modules and of $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$-homomorphisms endows $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V)$ with the structure of a strict symmetric tensor category [16].

There are some special morphisms in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V)$, which will play crucial roles in the remainder of the paper. Let v_a $(a = 1, 2, \dots, m + 2n)$ be a homogeneous basis of V, and let $\bar{v}_a$ $(a = 1, 2, \dots, m + 2n)$ be the dual basis, that is $(\bar{v}_a, v_b) = \delta_{ab}$ for all a, b. Let $c_0 = \sum_{a=1}^{m+2n} v_a \otimes \bar{v}_a$, which is canonical in that it is independent of the choice of the basis. The following linear maps are clearly morphisms of $\mathcal{T}_G(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau : V \otimes V \longrightarrow V \otimes V, \quad v \otimes w \mapsto (-1)^{[v][w]} w \otimes v, \\ &\check{C} : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow V \otimes V, \quad 1 \mapsto c_0, \\ &\hat{C} : V \otimes V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad v \otimes w \mapsto (v, w). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

A set of relations among $\tau, \check{C}$ and $\hat{C}$ were given in [20, Lemma 5.3].

2.2. **The Brauer category.** The Brauer category was first introduced in [19]. Let k and l be nonnegative integers and assume that $k + l$ is even. A Brauer (k, l)-diagram is a graph with $(k + l)/2$ edges and $k + l$ vertices, where the vertices are arranged in two rows such that there are l vertices in the top row and k vertices in the bottom row, and each vertex is

incident to exactly one edge. Fixing $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $B_k^l(\delta)$ the vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis consisting of Brauer (k,l)-diagrams. There are two bilinear operations,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{composition} \quad \circ : \quad & B_\ell^p(\delta) \times B_k^\ell(\delta) \longrightarrow B_k^p(\delta), \\ \text{tensor product} \quad \otimes : \quad & B_p^q(\delta) \times B_k^\ell(\delta) \longrightarrow B_{k+p}^{q+\ell}(\delta), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

which are defined as follows.

- Let $D_1 \in B_\ell^p(\delta)$ and $D_2 \in B_k^\ell(\delta)$ be two Brauer diagrams, we place D_1 above D_2 and then identify vertices in the bottom row of D_1 with the corresponding vertices in the top row of D_2. After deleting all closed loops, say $f(D_1, D_2)$ loops, in the concatenation, we obtain a new diagram $D \in B_k^p(\delta)$, and hence define $D_1 \circ D_2 := \delta^{f(D_1,D_2)} D$.
- The tensor product $D_1 \otimes D_2$ with $D_1 \in B_p^q(\delta)$ and $D_2 \in B_k^\ell(\delta)$ is obtained by simply placing D_2 on the right of D_1 without overlapping.

Definition 2.3. The *Brauer category* $\mathcal{B}(\delta)$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-linear category equipped with the tensor product bi-functor $\otimes$ such that

(1) the set of objects is $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}(\delta)}(k,l) := B_k^l(\delta)$ for any pair of objects $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$; the composition of morphisms is given by the composition of Brauer diagrams;
(2) the tensor product $k \otimes l$ of objects k, l is $k + l$ in $\mathbb{N}$, and the tensor product of morphisms is given by the tensor product of Brauer diagrams.

All Brauer diagrams in the Brauer category can be generated by the four elementary Brauer diagrams

which will be denoted by I, X, A_0 and U_0 respectively, by composition and tensor product. The complete set of relations among these generators is described in [19, Theorem 2.6(2)].

The Brauer category has the structure of a strict symmetric tensor category [16] with 0 being the identity object. It admits identical left and right dualities, where all objects are self dual, and the evaluation and co-evaluation maps arise from A_0 and U_0.

The Brauer algebra $B_r(\delta)$ [2] now arises as the endomorphism space $B_r^r(\delta)$ with the multiplication given by composition of morphisms in the Brauer category. It has the following standard presentation (see, e.g., [18]).

Propsition 2.4. *For $i = 1, \dots, r-1$, let s_i and e_i respectively be the Brauer (r,r)-diagrams shown below.*



Then $B_r(\delta)$ is an associative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with generators $\{s_i, e_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1\}$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned} & s_i s_j = s_j s_i,\ s_i e_j = e_j s_i,\ e_i e_j = e_j e_i, \quad \text{if } |i-j| \geq 2, \\ & s_i^2 = 1,\ s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1},\ s_i e_i = e_i s_i = e_i, \\ & e_i^2 = \delta e_i,\ e_i e_{i\pm 1} e_i = e_i,\ s_i e_{i+1} e_i = s_{i+1} e_i, \end{aligned}$$

with the last six relations being valid for all applicable i.

Note in particular that the elements s_i generate the group algebra $\mathcal{A}_r := \mathbb{C}\mathrm{Sym}_r$ of the symmetric group Sym_r of degree r. Hence $B_r(\delta)$ contains $\mathcal{A}_r$ as a subalgebra.

Remark 2.5. There is a canonical embedding of $B_r(\delta)$ in $B_{r+1}(\delta)$ for each r, which identifies the standard generators of $B_r(\delta)$ with the first $r-1$ pairs of generators s_i, e_i $(i \leq r-1)$ of $B_s(\delta)$. This leads to a canonical embedding of $B_r(\delta) \hookrightarrow B_s(\delta)$ for any $s > r$.

2.3. **Categorical FFT and SFT.** We assume throughout the paper that the superdimension $\mathrm{sdim}(V) = (\dim V_{\bar{0}} | \dim V_{\bar{1}})$ of V is equal to $(m|2n)$.

Let $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V)$, and denote by $\mathcal{T}_G(V)$ the category of tensor representations of G.

Theorem 2.6. ([20, Theorem 5.4]) *There exists a unique tensor functor $F : \mathcal{B}(m-2n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_G(V)$, which sends the object r to $V^{\otimes r}$ and morphism $D : k \to \ell$ to $F(D) : V^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow V^{\otimes l}$, where $F(D)$ is defined on the generators of Brauer diagrams by*

(2.4)
$$F\left(\begin{array}{c}\vert\\ \vert\end{array}\right) = \mathrm{id}_V, \quad F\left(\begin{array}{c}\times\end{array}\right) = \tau,$$
$$F\left(\begin{array}{c}\cup\end{array}\right) = \check{C}, \quad F\left(\begin{array}{c}\cap\end{array}\right) = \hat{C}.$$

Being a tensor functor, F respects tensor products, thus for any objects r, r' and morphisms D, D' in $\mathcal{B}(m-2n)$,

$$F(r \otimes r') = V^{\otimes r} \otimes V^{\otimes r'} = F(r) \otimes F(r'),$$
$$F(D \otimes D') = F(D) \otimes F(D').$$

We will denote by $F_k^l : B_k^l(m-2n) \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(V^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes l})$ the restriction of F to the space $B_k^l(m-2n)$ of homomorphisms. Let $\hat{A}^r$ be the Brauer diagram in $B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$ given in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. The diagram $\hat{A}^r : 2r \to 0$

The following results were proved in [20] and [21], which are the first and second fundamental theorems of invariant theory for $\mathrm{OSp}(V)$ in the categorical language.

Theorem 2.7. (FFT, [20, Theorem 5.6, Corollary 5.8]) *The functor $F : \mathcal{B}(m-2n) \to \mathcal{T}_G(V)$ is full. That is, $F_k^l : B_k^l(m-2n) \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(V^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes l})$ is surjective for all k, l.*

The theorem in particular implies that

Corollary 2.8. *The algebra homomorphism $F_r^r : B_r^r(m-2n) \to \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r})$ is surjective.*

The method used in the proofs of [20, Theorem 3.2, Corollary 5.8] allows one to change base rings between $\mathbb{C}$ and the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra. Using the method we obtain the following result from [21, Theorem 5.4].

Theorem 2.9. (SFT, [21, Theorem 5.4]) *If $k+l$ is odd,* $\mathrm{Ker}F^0_{k+l} = 0$ *trivially; if $k+l = 2r$ is even,* $\mathrm{Ker}F^0_{2r} = \hat{A}^r \circ I(m,n)$, *where $\hat{A}^r \in B^0_{2r}(m-2n)$ is the $(2r,0)$-diagram shown in Figure 1, and $I(m,n)$ is the 2-sided ideal of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Sym}_{2r}$ described in* (3.7).

Recall that $\mathrm{Ker}F^l_k \cong \mathrm{Ker}F^0_{k+l}$ for all k, l as vector spaces [19] (see also Remark 3.6).

3. SFT in minimal degree

Let $F^r_r : B^r_r(m-2n) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V^{\otimes r})$ be the surjective algebra homomorphism in Corollary 2.8. We shall determine the minimal r such that $\mathrm{Ker}F^r_r$ is nonzero, and construct a basis for $\mathrm{Ker}F^r_r$ in the minimal degree.

3.1. Actions of Sym_{2r} on $B^0_{2r}(m-2n)$ and $B^r_r(m-2n)$.

3.1.1. *Actions of Sym_{2r} on $B^0_{2r}(m-2n)$ and $B^r_r(m-2n)$.* We begin by describing a particular isomorphism between $B^0_{2r}(m-2n)$ and $B^r_r(m-2n)$, which will be used extensively in the remainder of the paper.



FIGURE 2. U_r

Let $U_r \in B^{2r}_0(m-2n)$ be the diagram shown in Figure 2, and call it an *upper translation*. Let $\omega_{2r} \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2r}$ be the diagram given in Figure 3 such that $\omega_{2r}(i) = 2i-1$ and $\omega_{2r}(r+i) = 2i$ for all $1 \le i \le r$. We define the *upper translation map*

$$\mathbb{U}_r : B^0_{2r}(m-2n) \to B^r_r(m-2n),$$

which sends $A \in B^0_{2r}(m-2n)$ to the composition $(I_r \otimes A) \circ (I_r \otimes \omega_{2r}) \circ (U_r \otimes I_r)$ of morphisms.



FIGURE 3. Diagram of ω_{2r}

Example 3.1. Let $r = 3$ and

$A =$  ,

then the upper translation map applied to A can be represented diagrammatically as

$\mathbb{U}_3(A) =$  .

In particular, $\mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r) = I_r$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Similarly, we introduce the *lower translation* diagram A_r as shown in Figure 4, and define the *lower translation map*

$$\mathbb{A}_r : B_r^r(m-2n) \longrightarrow B_{2r}^0(m-2n), \quad D \mapsto A_r \circ (I_r \otimes D) \circ \omega_{2r}^{-1},$$

Clearly, the linear maps $\mathbb{U}_r$ and $\mathbb{A}_r$ are mutual inverses.



FIGURE 4. A_r

Now $B_k^k(m-2n) = B_k(m-2n)$, the Brauer algebra of degree k. For any even number $k = 2r$, the composition of morphisms in $\mathcal{B}(m-2n)$ naturally defines a right action of the Brauer algebra of degree $2r$ on $B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$:

$$B_{2r}^0(m-2n) \times B_{2r}^{2r}(m-2n) \longrightarrow B_{2r}^0(m-2n), \quad (A, D) \mapsto A \circ D.$$

Since the group algebra $\mathcal{A}_{2r} := \mathbb{C}\mathrm{Sym}_{2r}$ is a subalgebra of the Brauer algebra, this restricts to a right action of $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$. By using the anti-automorphism $\sharp$ of $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$ induced by the map $\sigma \to \sigma^{-1}$ for $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2r}$ (i.e., the antipode of $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$ with the standard Hopf algebra structure), we can turn the right $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-action into a left action

$$* : \mathcal{A}_{2r} \times B_{2r}^0(m-2n) \longrightarrow B_{2r}^0(m-2n), \quad \alpha * A := A \circ (\alpha)^\sharp. \tag{3.1}$$

Using the vector space isomorphism $\mathbb{U}_r$ between $B_r^r(m-2n)$ and $B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$ and its inverse map $\mathbb{A}_r$, we can translate the above $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-action on $B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$ to $B_r^r(m-2n)$:

$$* : \mathcal{A}_{2r} \times B_r^r(m-2n) \longrightarrow B_r^r(m-2n), \quad \alpha * D := \mathbb{U}_r(\mathbb{A}_r(D) \circ \alpha^\sharp). \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.2. *The maps*

$$\mathbb{U}_r : B_{2r}^0(m-2n) \to B_r^r(m-2n), \quad \mathbb{A}_r : B_r^r(m-2n) \to B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$$

are mutual inverse $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-isomorphisms.

3.1.2. *Functoriality.* We now consider properties of $B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$ and $B_r^r(m-2n)$ as $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-modules under the functor $F : \mathcal{B}(m-2n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_G(V)$.

Lemma 3.3. *The following diagrams are commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_{2r}^0(m-2n) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{U}_r} & B_r^r(m-2n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_{2r}^0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_r^r} \\ \mathrm{Hom}_G(V^{\otimes 2r}, \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow[F\mathbb{U}_r]{} & \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r}) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} B_r^r(m-2n) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A}_r} & B_{2r}^0(m-2n) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_r^r} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_{2r}^0} \\ \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r}) & \xrightarrow[F\mathbb{A}_r]{} & \mathrm{Hom}_G(V^{\otimes 2r}, \mathbb{C}). \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Since F preserves both composition and tensor product of Brauer diagrams, given any $A \in B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_r^r(\mathbb{U}_r(A)) &= (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r} \otimes F_{2r}^0(A)) \circ (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r} \otimes F(\omega_{2r})) \circ (F(U_r) \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r}) \\ &= F\mathbb{U}_r(F_{2r}^0(A)), \end{aligned}$$

which verifies the commutativity of the first diagram. The commutativity of the second diagram can be proved similarly. □

Since both $F_{2r}^0 : B_{2r}^0(m-2n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_G(V^{\otimes 2r}, \mathbb{C})$ and $F_r^r : B_r^r(m-2n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r})$ are surjective, by using Lemma 3.3, we obtain natural $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-actions

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}_{2r} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_G(V^{\otimes 2r}, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_G(V^{\otimes 2r}, \mathbb{C}), \\ &\mathcal{A}_{2r} \otimes \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

which are defined as follows. For any $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(V^{\otimes 2r}, \mathbb{C})$, there exists $A \in B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$ such that $\phi = F_{2r}^0(A)$. Then any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{2r}$ acts on ϕ by

$$\alpha.\phi := F_{2r}^0(\alpha * A) = F_{2r}^0(A \circ \alpha^\sharp) = F_{2r}^0(A) F_{2r}^{2r}(\alpha^\sharp).$$

The action on $\mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r})$ is similarly defined. It is clear that F_{2r}^0 and F_r^r are $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-maps.

The kernels of F_{2r}^0 and F_r^r are $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-submodules of $B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$ and $B_r^r(m-2n)$ respectively. This can either be seen from the definitions of the maps F_{2r}^0 and F_r^r directly, or from the above observation that they are $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-maps.

We also have the following result.

Lemma 3.4. *The linear maps*

$$\begin{aligned} &F\mathbb{U}_r = (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r} \otimes -) \circ (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r} \otimes F(\omega_{2r})) \circ (F(U_r) \otimes \mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r}) : \mathrm{Hom}_G(V^{\otimes 2r}, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r}), \\ &F\mathbb{A}_r = F(A_r) \circ (\mathrm{id}_V^{\otimes r} \otimes -) \circ F(\omega_{2r}^{-1}) : \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(V^{\otimes 2r}, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

are mutual inverse $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-isomorphisms.

Proof. Applying the functor F to Lemma 3.2, we immediately arrive at the lemma. □

It follows from Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $\mathbb{U}_r$ and $F\mathbb{U}_r$ are isomorphisms that

Corollary 3.5. $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0$ *and* $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ *are isomorphic as $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-modules.*

Remark 3.6. Using slight variations of $\mathbb{U}_r$ and $\mathbb{A}_r$, one can similarly define Sym_{k+l}-actions on $B_k^l(m-2n)$ and show that $B_k^l(m-2n) \cong B_{k+l}^0(m-2n)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}F_k^l \cong \mathrm{Ker}F_{k+l}^0$ as $\mathcal{A}_{k+l}$-modules for all k and l.

3.2. $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0$ **and** $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ **as** Sym_{2r}**-modules.** The Sym_{2r}-actions on $B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$ and $B_r^r(m-2n)$ will enable us to undertsand $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0$ and $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$.

3.2.1. *Basic facts on* Sym_k. Let us start by introducing some notation. Fix a nonnegative integer k. We will denote a partition λ of k ($\lambda \vdash k$) either by $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ or $\lambda = (1^{m_1} 2^{m_2} \dots)$, and identify it with its associated Young diagram. Denote by $\mathrm{Std}(\lambda)$ the set of standard λ-tableaux, and let $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$ (resp. $\mathfrak{t}_\lambda$) be the standard λ-tableau with $1, 2, \dots, r$ appearing in the order from left to right (resp. top to bottom) along successive rows (resp. columns).

We will always consider the right action of Sym_k on the set of λ-tabuleax. Then for any $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda)$ there exists $d(\mathfrak{t}) \in \mathrm{Sym}_k$ such that $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}^\lambda d(\mathfrak{t})$, where $d(\mathfrak{t})$ acts on $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$ by permuting its entries. In particular, there exists $w_\lambda := d(\mathfrak{t}_\lambda) \in \mathrm{Sym}_k$ such that $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda w_\lambda = \mathfrak{t}_\lambda$.

Given a λ-tableau $\mathfrak{t}$, we denote by $R(\mathfrak{t})$ and $C(\mathfrak{t})$ respectively the row and column stabilisers in Sym_k. Define

$$x_\lambda(\mathfrak{t}) = \sum_{\sigma\in R(\mathfrak{t})} \sigma, \quad y_\lambda(\mathfrak{t}) = \sum_{\sigma\in C(\mathfrak{t})} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma, \quad \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t}) := x_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})y_\lambda(\mathfrak{t}), \tag{3.5}$$

where ϵ is the sign character of Sym_k, and $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})$ is the Young symmetriser on $\mathfrak{t}$ of shape λ. For $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}^\lambda$, we will denote these elements by x_λ, y_λ and $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda = x_\lambda y_\lambda$ respectively. In particular,

$$x_{(k)} = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}_k} \sigma, \quad y_{(1^k)} = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}_k} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_k := \mathbb{C}\mathrm{Sym}_k$, then the left Specht module $S^\lambda = \mathcal{A}_k\mathfrak{c}_\lambda$ is simple and has the standard basis $\{d(\mathfrak{t})\mathfrak{c}_\lambda \mid \mathfrak{t}\in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda)\}$. Similarly, $\{\mathfrak{c}_\lambda d(\mathfrak{t}) \mid \mathfrak{t}\in\mathrm{Std}(\lambda)\}$ forms a basis for the simple right Specht module $\widetilde{S^\lambda} = \mathfrak{c}_\lambda\mathcal{A}_k$ (see, e.g., [8, 6.3c, 6.3e]). The dimension is given by [11, §4.1]

$$\dim\widetilde{S^\lambda} = \dim S^\lambda = \frac{k!}{h_\lambda}, \quad \text{with} \quad h_\lambda = \prod_{i,j} h^\lambda_{ij}, \tag{3.6}$$

where h^λ_{ij} is the hook length of the (i,j)-box in the Young diagram of shape λ.

3.2.2. $\mathrm{Ker}F^0_{2r}$ *as* Sym_{2r}*-module.* Now let $k = 2r$. For any partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_s)$ of r, we denote by 2μ the partition $(2\mu_1, 2\mu_2, \dots, 2\mu_s) \vdash 2r$, and call it an *even partition* of $2r$. We use $\mathcal{P}_r$ to denote the set of partitions of r and define $2\mathcal{P}_r := \{2\mu \mid \mu\in\mathcal{P}_r\}$.

Using the notation introduced, we can now give a precise description of the two-sided ideal $I(m,n)$ of $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$ in Theorem 2.9 such that $\mathrm{Ker}F^0_{2r} = \hat{A}^r \circ I(m,n)$. We have

$$I(m,n) = \bigoplus_{\lambda\vdash 2r,\, \lambda\supseteq((2n+1)^{m+1})} \mathcal{A}_{2r}\mathfrak{c}_\lambda\mathcal{A}_{2r}. \tag{3.7}$$

Remark 3.7. This ideal played a role, implicitly, in [1] in the decomposition of polynomial representations of the general linear superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Let $K_r \subset \mathrm{Sym}_{2r}$ be the stabiliser of $\hat{A}^r$ as depicted in Figure 1, i.e., $\hat{A}^r \circ \xi = \hat{A}^r$ for any $\xi \in K_r$. Then $K_r = \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rtimes \mathrm{Sym}_r$.

Lemma 3.8. *As left* $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$*-modules,*

$$B^0_{2r}(m-2n) \cong \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathcal{A}_{2r}}_{\mathbb{C}K_r} 1_{\mathbb{C}K_r} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda\in 2\mathcal{P}_r} S^\lambda, \tag{3.8}$$

where $1_{\mathbb{C}K_r}$ *denotes the trivial representation of* $\mathbb{C}K_r$*.*

Proof. Note that there is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism

$$\psi : \mathrm{Ind}^{\mathcal{A}_{2r}}_{\mathbb{C}K_r} 1_{\mathbb{C}K_r} \to B^0_{2r}(m-2n)$$

such that $\psi(\sigma\otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}K_r}) = \sigma * \hat{A}^r = \hat{A}^r \circ \sigma^{-1}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2r}$. While the surjectivity of the map is clear, injectivity can be seen by noting that $\mathrm{Ind}^{\mathcal{A}_{2r}}_{\mathbb{C}K_r} 1_{\mathbb{C}K_r}$ has a basis of the form $\{\sigma\otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}K_r}\}$ with σ's being representatives of distinct left cosets of K_r in Sym_{2r}. Thus ψ is indeed an isomorphism. This proves the first isomorphism.

The second isomorphism is a known fact from the representation theory of the symmetric group, see, e.g., [23, Chapter VII, (2.4)]. □

Lemma 3.9. *As left $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-modules,*

$$\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0 \cong I(m,n) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}K_r} 1_{\mathbb{C}K_r}. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. This can be proved similarly as in Lemma 3.8. □

The following lemma is well known ([11]).

Lemma 3.10. ([11, Lemma 4.23]) *Let $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})$ (resp. $\mathfrak{c}_\mu(\mathfrak{s})$) be the Young symmetriser associated with any standard tableau $\mathfrak{t}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{s}$) of shape λ (resp. μ), where $\lambda, \mu \vdash k$. Then*
(1) For all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_k$, $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})\sigma\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})$ is a scalar multiple of $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})$. In particular, $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda\mathfrak{c}_\lambda = h_\lambda\mathfrak{c}_\lambda$.
(2) For all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_k$, $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})\sigma\mathfrak{c}_\mu(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$.

Remark 3.11. The elements $(h_\lambda)^{-1}\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})$ with $\lambda \vdash k$ and $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda)$ are pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents in $\mathcal{A}_k$; see, e.g., [15, Theorem 3.1.24].

Notation 3.12. *The following notation will be used throughout the paper.*

$$r_c := (m+1)(n+1), \quad \lambda_c := \left((2n+2)^{m+1}\right) \vdash 2r_c.$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 3.13. *As a left $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-submodule of $B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$, $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0$ admits a multiplicity-free decomposition*

$$\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0 \cong \bigoplus_{2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c} S^\lambda,$$

and hence

$$\dim \mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0 = \sum_{2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c} \dim S^\lambda.$$

Furthermore, $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0 \neq 0$ if and only if $r \geq r_c$.

Proof. The dimension formula is an immediate consequence of the first assertion, which we now prove. By Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0 \cong I(m,n) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}K_r} 1_{\mathbb{C}K_r} &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash 2r, \lambda \supseteq ((2n+1)^{m+1})} \mathcal{A}_{2r}c_\lambda\mathcal{A}_{2r} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}K_r} 1_{\mathbb{C}K_r} \\ &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash 2r, \lambda \supseteq ((2n+1)^{m+1})} \mathcal{A}_{2r}c_\lambda \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{C}K_r}^{\mathcal{A}_{2r}} 1_{\mathbb{C}K_r}.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.10, we can express the far right hand side of the above equation as

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash 2r, \lambda \supseteq ((2n+1)^{m+1})} \bigoplus_{\mu \in 2\mathcal{P}_r} \mathcal{A}_{2r}c_\lambda S^\mu \quad \cong \quad \bigoplus_{2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c} S^\lambda.$$

This proves the claim on the decomposition of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0$.

Now $\lambda \in 2\mathcal{P}_r$ and $\lambda \supseteq \lambda_c$ implies that $r \geq r_c$. If $r \geq r_c$, there always exists $\lambda \in 2\mathcal{P}_r$ such that $\lambda \supseteq \lambda_c$. In particular, when $r = r_c$, the only such even partition is λ_c itself, and we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c} \cong \mathrm{Ker}F_{2r_c}^0 \cong S^{\lambda_c}. \tag{3.10}$$

□

Since $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r \cong \mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0$ as $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-modules by Corollary 3.5, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.14. *The kernel of F_r^r is nonzero if and only if $r \geq r_c$, and in that case*

$$\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r \cong \bigoplus_{2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c} S^\lambda \quad \textit{and} \quad \dim \mathrm{Ker}F_r^r = \sum_{2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c} \dim S^\lambda.$$

Corollary 3.15. *The map $F_r^r : B_r^r(m-2n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r})$ is an algebra isomorphism if and only if $r < r_c$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.14. □

Elements of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ have the following annihilation property. Let i and j be two distinct integers in $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2r_c\}$. Given any Brauer (r_c, r_c)-diagram D, we label the vertices in the top row and bottom row respectively by $\{1, 3, \ldots, 2r_c - 1\}$ and $\{2, 4, \ldots, 2r_c\}$ from left to right. We define $C_{i,j}(D)$ to be the diagram obtained by connecting the vertex i with vertex j in D, and extend it to a linear map.

Lemma 3.16. *Any $\Psi \in \mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ satisfies $C_{i,j}(\Psi) = 0$ for all distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, 2r_c\}$.*

Proof. Assume that $C_{i,j}(\Psi) \in B_k^l(m-2n)$ for some k and l, then $C_{i,j}(\Psi) \in \mathrm{Ker}F_k^l$ with $k + l = 2r_c - 2$. Since $\mathrm{Ker}F_k^l \cong \mathrm{Ker}F_{k+l}^0$ by Remark 3.6, and $\mathrm{Ker}F_{k+l}^0 = 0$ by Theorem 3.13, the lemma immediately follows. □

3.3. **A basis for $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ in minimal degree.** We shall construct a basis for $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$.

3.3.1. *The Lehrer-Zhang element.* Given any Brauer diagram $A \in B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$, there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2r}$ such that $A = \hat{A}^r \circ \sigma = \sigma^{-1} * \hat{A}^r$, and hence we have the following relation in $B_r^r(m-2n)$,

$$\mathbb{U}_r(A) = \sigma^{-1} * \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r) = \sigma^{-1} * I_r,$$

where I_r is the r-th tensor power $I \otimes \cdots \otimes I$ of the elementary Brauer diagram I. Thus I_r generates $B_r^r(m-2n)$ as an $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-module.



FIGURE 5. $\Xi_r(i)$

This in particular enables us to gain a conceptual understanding of the element of the Brauer algebra introduced in [19, Section 5]. For each i such that $0 \leq i \leq [\frac{r}{2}]$, let $E(i) \in B_r^r(m-2n)$ be defined by $E(i) = \prod_{j=1}^i e_{2j-1}$, where $E(0) = I_r$ by convention. We define

$$\Xi_r(i) = x_{(r)} E(i) x_{(r)}, \tag{3.11}$$

which is represented pictorially in Figure 5. Let

$$\Phi_{LZ}(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{[\frac{r}{2}]} c_i \Xi_r(i), \quad c_i = ((2^i i!)^2 (r-2i)!)^{-1}.$$

Lemma 3.17. *Let $x_{(2r)} \in \mathcal{A}_{2r}$ be the Young symmetriser associated with the one row Young diagram of $2r$ boxes. Then*

$$\Phi_{LZ}(r) = \frac{x_{(2r)} * I_r}{2^r r!} = \sum_D D,$$

where the sum is over all the Brauer diagrams in $B_r^r(m-2n)$.

Proof. Recall that the subgroup $K_r = \mathbb{Z}_2^r \rtimes \mathrm{Sym}_r$ of Sym_{2r} is the stabiliser of $\hat{A}^r$. Denote by R the set of representatives of the right coset K_r in Sym_{2r}, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{(2r)} * I_r &= \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ x_{(2r)}) = \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2r}} \sigma) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in R} \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \sum_{\xi \in K_r} \xi\tau) = |K_r| \sum_{\tau \in R} \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{U}_r$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-isomorphism and $|R| = (2r-1)(2r-3)\cdots 3 \cdot 1 = \dim B_r^r(m-2n)$, we obtain $x_{(2r)} * I_r = 2^r r! \sum_D D$ as required. The first equality now follows from [19, Lemma 5.2] (see remark below). □

Remark 3.18. Both [19, Lemma 5.2] and Lemma 3.17 are valid in $B_r^r(\delta)$ for arbitrary δ.

3.3.2. *Labelling of Brauer diagrams.* To keep track of the changes of Brauer diagrams under the symmetric group actions, it is useful to label their vertices and regard the symmetric group as the permutation group on the set of labels. This will become important in Section 3.3.3.



FIGURE 6. A diagram $A \in B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$

For any Brauer diagram A in $B_{2r}^0(m-2n)$, we label its vertices by $1, 2, \ldots, 2r$ from left to right as indicated in Figure 6. Any Brauer diagram in $B_r^r(m-2n)$ is of the form $\mathbb{U}_r(A)$ for a $(2r,0)$-diagram A. Thus the labelling of the vertices of A induces a labelling for the vertices of $\mathbb{U}_r(A)$ such that the vertices in the top row are numbered by the odd integres $1, 3, \ldots 2r-1$, and those in the bottom row by the even integers $2, 4, \ldots, 2r$.

Given the elementary transposition $s_i = (i, i+1) \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2r}$ and a Brauer diagram $D \in B_r^r(m-2n)$, it follows from definition (3.2) that the left action of s_i on D is to switch the positions of endpoints in D labelled by i and $i+1$. This is depicted in Figure 7.

Example 3.19. In the case of $r = 3$, we have





FIGURE 7. $s_i * D$

Remark 3.20. It can be deduced from either Figure 7 or definition (3.2) that

$$(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) * D = \sigma_1 \circ D \circ \sigma_2^{-1}$$

for any $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \mathrm{Sym}\{1, 3, \ldots 2r-1\} \times \mathrm{Sym}\{2, 4, \ldots, 2r\}$ and $D \in B_r^r(m-2n)$.

3.3.3. *A basis for the kernel in minimal degree.* If $r = r_c$, then $\lambda_c := ((2n+2)^{m+1})$ is the only partition of $2r$ which contains $((2n+2)^{m+1})$. We have the following key lemma.

Lemma 3.21. *The element $\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}$ in $\mathrm{Ker}F^0_{2r_c}$ is nonzero.*

Proof. The lemma holds if and only if the following element of $\mathrm{Ker}F^{r_c}_{r_c}$ is nonzero:

$$\Phi := \mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}). \tag{3.12}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\Phi = (\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c})^\sharp * \mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}) = y_{\lambda_c} x_{\lambda_c} * I_{r_c}. \tag{3.13}$$

Let $X_{\lambda_c} := x_{\lambda_c} * I_{r_c}$. Recall that both x_{λ_c} and y_{λ_c} are defined on $\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}$, which is filled with $1, 2, \ldots, 2r_c$ from left to right along successive rows. Using Lemma 3.17, we obtain

$$X_{\lambda_c} = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{m+1}} c_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{m+1}} \Xi_{n+1}(i_1) \otimes \Xi_{n+1}(i_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Xi_{n+1}(i_{m+1}), \tag{3.14}$$

where $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{m+1}$ all range from 0 to $[\frac{n+1}{2}]$, and

$$c_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{m+1}} = \prod_{k=1}^{m+1} 2^{n+1}(n+1)! c_{i_k} = \prod_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{2^{n+1}(n+1)!}{(2^{i_k} i_k!)^2 (n+1-2i_k)!}. \tag{3.15}$$

Pictorially the element $\Xi_{n+1}(i_1) \otimes \Xi_{n+1}(i_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Xi_{n+1}(i_{m+1})$ can be represented by



We note in particularly that the odd columns of $\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}$ are filled with odd integers, while the even columns are filled with even integers. Denote by C_i the i-th column of $\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}$, and by $\mathrm{Sym}\{C_i\}$ the group of permutations of C_i. Then $\mathrm{Sym}\{C_i\}$ is the group of permutations of odd (resp. even) numbers if i is odd (resp. even). Let $\alpha^-(C_i) := \sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}\{C_i\}} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma$, then

$$y_{\lambda_c} = \prod_{i=1}^{2n+2} \alpha^-(C_i) = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha^-(C_{2k-1}) \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha^-(C_{2k}). \tag{3.16}$$

We now invoke the labelling of vertices of Brauer diagrams discussed in Section 3.3.2. Then the vertices in the top row and bottom row of X_{λ_c} are labeled by odd and even integers respectively. Thus, by Remark 3.20 we have

$$\Phi = y_{\lambda_c} * X_{\lambda_c} = \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha^-(C_{2k-1}) \circ X_{\lambda_c} \circ \prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha^-(C_{2k}). \tag{3.17}$$

Note that the right hand side is now a product of elements of $\mathcal{A}_{r_c}$.

Let $\mu_c = ((n+1)^{m+1})$, and let x_{μ_c} and y_{μ_c} be the elements in $\mathcal{A}_{r_c}$ defined with respect to $\mathfrak{t}^{\mu_c}$ as in Section 3.2.1. Observe that $\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha^-(C_{2k-1})$ and $\prod_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha^-(C_{2k})$ are both equal to y_{μ_c} when viewed as Brauer (r_c, r_c)-diagrams. Therefore, we rewrite the above equation as

$$\Phi = y_{\mu_c} \circ X_{\lambda_c} \circ y_{\mu_c}. \tag{3.18}$$

Introduce the element

$$E_{r_c}(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{m+1}) = \prod_{0\le s\le m} \prod_{0\le t\le i_{s+1}} \widetilde{e}_{s(n+1)+2t-1}, \tag{3.19}$$

where $\widetilde{e}_{s(n+1)+2t-1} = I_{r_c}$ if $t = 0$, otherwise, $\widetilde{e}_{s(n+1)+2t-1} = e_{s(n+1)+2t-1}$. Then it follows from the above picture that

$$\Xi_{n+1}(i_1) \otimes \Xi_{n+1}(i_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Xi_{n+1}(i_{m+1}) = x_{\mu_c} E_{r_c}(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{m+1}) x_{\mu_c}.$$

Using this in (3.14) and(3.18), we obtain

$$\Phi = \sum_{0\le i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{m+1}\le[\frac{n+1}{2}]} c_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_{m+1}} y_{\mu_c} x_{\mu_c} E_{r_c}(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{m+1}) x_{\mu_c} y_{\mu_c}. \tag{3.20}$$

Obviously, the leading term $c_{0,0,\ldots,0} y_{\mu_c} x_{\mu_c} x_{\mu_c} y_{\mu_c}$ on the right hand side has no horizontal edges, while the other terms all have at least one horizontal edge. Hence there is no cancellation between the leading term and the rest. Moreover, the leading term is nonzero, since $x_{\mu_c} c_{0,0,\ldots,0} y_{\mu_c} x_{\mu_c} x_{\mu_c} y_{\mu_c} = ((n+1)!)^{m+1} c_{0,0,\ldots,0} h_{\mu_c} x_{\mu_c} y_{\mu_c} \neq 0$. Thus it follows that Φ is nonzero. □

Remark 3.22. Let $a_{m,n} = (2^{n+1}(n+1)!)^{-m-1}$. It follows from Lemma 3.17 that $a_{m,n} X_{\lambda_c}$ is a linear combination of Brauer diagrams with integral coefficients, thus so is also $a_{m,n}\Phi$.

Remark 3.23. A simple formula for Φ is contained in Lemma 4.1.

We now construct a basis for the kernel $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$.

Recall that $\{\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c} d(\mathfrak{t}) \mid \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda_c)\}$ is a basis for the right Specht module $\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}\mathcal{A}_{2r_c}$. Define

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}} := d(\mathfrak{t})^{-1} * \Phi \tag{3.21}$$

for each standard tableau $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda_c)$. Then we have the following result.

Theorem 3.24. *The set* $\Theta := \{\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}} \mid \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda_c)\}$ *forms a basis for* $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$.

Proof. Consider $\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}$, it is nonzero by Lemma 3.21, thus $\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}\mathcal{A}_{2r_c}$ is a nonzero submodule of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r_c}^0$ spanned by the set $\Upsilon := \{\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c} d(\mathfrak{t}) \mid \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda_c)\}$. By (3.10), $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r_c}^0$ is isomorphic to the simple module S^{λ_c}, hence $\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}\mathcal{A}_{2r_c} = \mathrm{Ker}F_{2r_c}^0$. As the cardinality of Υ is precisely $\dim S^{\lambda_c}$, it is a basis of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r_c}^0$, and hence $\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\Upsilon)$ is a basis for $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$. Using Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c} d(\mathfrak{t})) = d(\mathfrak{t})^{-1} * \mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}) = \Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.25. The Sym_{2r}-structure of $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ in the case of classical groups was investigated by Doty and Hu in [5, 13], where they constructed integral bases for the Brauer algebra and for the annihilators of tensor spaces.

4. Generators of $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ in minimal degree

In this section, we shall give a set of generators of $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ for the case $r = r_c$. This will be achieved by introducing some special elements in $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ equipped with combinatorial notions, and then using Garnir relations arising from representation theory of symmetric group to describe relations among them.

4.1. Special elements in $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$.

We shall construct some special elements which roughly generate $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$.

4.1.1. *The element* $\widetilde{\Phi}$. For convenience, we introduce another labelling for Brauer diagrams. Given a Brauer (r,r)-diagram D, we label the vertices in the top and bottom rows respectively by $1, 2, \ldots, r$ and $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{r}$ from left to right. The bijection

$$\pi : \{1, 2, \ldots, r, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{r}\} \to \{1, 2, \ldots, 2r\}, \quad \pi(i) = 2i - 1,\ \pi(\overline{i}) = 2i,\ \forall 1 \leq i \leq r, \tag{4.1}$$

enables us to convert the present labelling to that defined in Section 3.3.2.

In the present labelling, Sym_{2r} may be identified with the permutation group on $\{1, 2, \ldots, r, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{r}\}$. Let

$$H_r := \mathrm{Sym}\{1, 2, \ldots, r\} \times \mathrm{Sym}\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{r}\}, \tag{4.2}$$

which is identified with $\pi(H_r)$ by abuse of notation. Therefore, from Remark 3.20 we obtain the H_r-action such that $\sigma * D = \sigma_1 \circ D \circ {\sigma_2}^{-1}$ for all $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in H_r$ and $D \in B_r(m - 2n)$. For any $D \in B_r(m - 2n)$, we denote its H_r-orbit by $\mathcal{O}_{H_r}(D)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{O}_{H_r}(D) = \{\sigma * D |\ \sigma \in H_r\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Note that $\mathcal{O}_{H_r}(D) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ if $D \in \mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$, and hence $\mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}(\Phi) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ in particular.

We now construct an element in $\mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}(\Phi)$, which will be of crucial importance for us.

Write $\mu_c = ((n+1)^{m+1})$. Let $\omega_{\mu_c} := d(\mathfrak{t}_{\mu_c})$ and $w := (w_{\mu_c}, w_{\mu_c}) \in H_{r_c}$. Define

$$\widetilde{\Phi} := a_{m,n} w * \Phi = a_{m,n} w_{\mu_c} \circ \Phi \circ w_{\mu_c}^{-1} \quad \text{with} \quad a_{m,n} = (2^{n+1}(n+1)!)^{-m-1}. \tag{4.4}$$

Recall that $d(\mathfrak{t}_{\mu_c}) \in \mathrm{Sym}_{r_c}$ satisfies $\mathfrak{t}^{\mu_c} d(\mathfrak{t}_{\mu_c}) = \mathfrak{t}_{\mu_c}$. Thus pictorially w_{μ_c} has the vertical edges $\{(m+1)(j-1)+i, \overline{(n+1)(i-1)+j}\}$ with $1 \le i \le m+1, 1 \le j \le n+1$. For notational convenience, we let

$$A(m+1) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{m+1}} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma, \quad S(n+1) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{n+1}} \sigma, \quad B(r) = \Phi_{LZ}(r), \tag{4.5}$$

and regard them as morphisms in the Brauer category. Then for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{m+1}$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{Sym}_{n+1}$, we clearly have the following symmetry properties

$$\begin{aligned} &A(m+1)\sigma = \sigma A(m+1) = \epsilon(\sigma) A(m+1), \\ &S(n+1)\tau = \tau S(n+1) = S(n+1), \\ &B(n+1)\tau = \tau B(n+1) = B(n+1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Also regarding x_{μ_c} and y_{μ_c} as morphisms in the Brauer category, one has

$$x_{\mu_c} = S(n+1)^{\otimes(m+1)}, \quad y_{\mu_c} = w_{\mu_c}^{-1} A(m+1)^{\otimes(n+1)} w_{\mu_c},$$

where $A(m+1)^{\otimes(n+1)}$ arises from the alternating sum of column stablisers of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mu_c}$.

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *$\widetilde{\Phi}$ is an integral sum of Brauer diagrams and is a quasi-idempotent, that is,*

$$\widetilde{\Phi} = A(m+1)^{\otimes(n+1)} w_{\mu_c} B(n+1)^{\otimes(m+1)} w_{\mu_c}^{-1} A(m+1)^{\otimes(n+1)}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\widetilde{\Phi}^2 = c_{m,n} \widetilde{\Phi}, \quad \text{where } c_{m,n} = ((m+1)!)^{n+1} h_{\mu_c}. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. One can easily prove (4.7) by combining (3.20) and Lemma 3.17. The second equation immediately follows from Lemma 3.16 and equation (3.20). □

Example 4.2. In the case of OSp(1|2)), i.e., $m = n = 1$, with $\mu_c = (2,2)$,

$$\mathfrak{t}^{\mu_c} = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}, \qquad \mathfrak{t}_{\mu_c} = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array}, \qquad w_{\mu_c} = (23).$$

Then $\widetilde{\Phi}$ can be depicted as in Figure 8. Also see Example 4.5 for another example.



FIGURE 8. $\widetilde{\Phi} = A(2)^{\otimes 2} w_{(2,2)} B(2)^{\otimes 2} w_{(2,2)} A(2)^{\otimes 2}$

4.1.2. *The elements* $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$. Let us first introduce some notation. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i} &:= (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k), \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq r, \\ \mathbf{j} &:= (j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_l), \quad \overline{1} \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_l \leq \overline{r} \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

for the sequences and denote their lengths, which are k and l, by $\ell(\mathbf{i})$ and $\ell(\mathbf{j})$ respectively. For $D \in B_r(m-2n)$, we lower the top vertices labeled by $i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k$ to the bottom row, and lift the bottom vertices labeled by $j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_l$ to the top row, and denote the resulting morphism of $\mathcal{B}(m-2n)$ by $D^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$, which is shown diagrammatically below.

$D^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} =$ 

Note that $D^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \in B_r(m-2n)$ if and only if $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = \ell(\mathbf{j})$.

Algebraically, the representatives for right cosets $H_r\sigma$ in Sym_{2r} arise from such pair $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$ with equal lengths. Denote by (i_s, j_s) the transposition in Sym_{2r}, and let

$$(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) := \prod_{s=1}^{k} (i_s, j_s). \tag{4.10}$$

Then $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ is one of representatives for the cosets $H_r\sigma$. We have $\mathcal{O}_{H_r}((\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) * D) = \mathcal{O}_{H_r}(D^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}})$, since there exists $\sigma \in H_r$ such that $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) * D = \sigma * D^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$. Therefore, it is clear that if $D \in \mathrm{Ker}F^r_r$, then $D^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathrm{Ker}F^r_r$ for all $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$ such that $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = \ell(\mathbf{j})$.

Given any elements $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_N$ in the Brauer algebra $B_r(m-2n)$, we use $\langle D_1, \ldots, D_N \rangle_r$ to denote the 2-sided ideal in $B_r(m-2n)$ which they generate.

Propsition 4.3. $\mathrm{Ker}F^{r_c}_{r_c} = \langle \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \ell(\mathbf{i}) = \ell(\mathbf{j}) \leq r_c \rangle_{r_c}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that the basis elements $\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}$ of $\mathrm{Ker}F^{r_c}_{r_c}$ are contained in the ideal on the right hand side. By (3.21) and (4.4), we have $\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}} = a^{-1}_{m,n}(wd(\mathfrak{t}))^{-1} * \widetilde{\Phi}$. Observe that there exist $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ with equal lengths such that $(wd(\mathfrak{t}))^{-1} \in H_{r_c}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$. Thus $a_{m,n}\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}} \in \mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}((\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) * \widetilde{\Phi}) = \mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}(\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}})$. For any $h = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in H_{r_c}$, we have

$$h * \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} = \sigma_1 \circ \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \circ \sigma_2^{-1} \in \langle \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \ell(\mathbf{i}) = \ell(\mathbf{j}) \leq r_c \rangle_{r_c},$$

completing the proof. □

4.2. **Garnir relations.** We want to select a subset of the elements $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$, which will generate $\mathrm{Ker}F^{r_c}_{r_c}$ and can be characterised more explicitly. To this end, we describe relations among $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$'s which are obtained by Garnir relations [15, §7.2].

4.2.1. *Standard sequences of increasing types.* We need some combinatorial notions first. Let us introduce the following

Definition 4.4. Given sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i} &:= (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_k), \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq r_c, \\ \mathbf{j} &:= (j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_l), \quad \overline{1} \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_l \leq \overline{r_c}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

We refer to $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$ with

$$a_p = \#\{i_s \mid (p-1)(m+1)+1 \le i_s \le p(m+1),\ 1 \le s \le k\}, \quad 1 \le p \le n+1,$$

as the *type* of $\mathbf{i}$. Similarly, we define $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) := (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n+1})$ such that

$$b_q = \#\{j_s \mid \overline{(q-1)(m+1)+1} \le j_s \le \overline{q(m+1)},\ 1 \le s \le l\}, \quad 1 \le q \le n+1.$$

Clearly, $0 \le a_p \le m+1$ and $0 \le b_q \le m+1$ for all p, q. Furthermore, $\sum_{p=1}^{n+1} a_p = \ell(\mathbf{i})$ and $\sum_{q=1}^{n+1} b_q = \ell(\mathbf{j})$. The relevance of the types of $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ in the description of $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ is best illustrated by an example.

Example 4.5. Suppose that $m = 1, n = 2$. Then according to (4.7),

$$\widetilde{\Phi} = A(2)^{\otimes 3} w_{\mu_c} B(3)^{\otimes 2} w_{\mu_c}^{-1} A(2)^{\otimes 3},$$

where $\mu_c = (3,3)$ and $w_{\mu_c} = (2354)$. We represent $\widetilde{\Phi}$ pictorially as in Figure 9.

If we take $\mathbf{i} = (1,3,4)$, then $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = (1,2,0)$. From the picture we can see that a_p, the p-th entry of $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i})$, is the number of vertices in $\mathbf{i}$ which belong to the set of top vertices of the p-th $A(2)$. These vertices are lowered to the bottom row in $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$. An analogous argument applies for any $\mathbf{j}$ as in Definition 4.4.



FIGURE 9. $\widetilde{\Phi} = A(2)^{\otimes 3} w_{(3,3)} B(3)^{\otimes 2} w_{(3,3)}^{-1} A(2)^{\otimes 3}$

The interpretation of the entries of $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i})$ given in the example clearly generalises to arbitrary sequences for any m and n. It then follows from the total skew symmetry of $A(m+1)$ (see (4.6)) that we have the following result.

Lemma 4.6. *Assume that $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = \ell(\mathbf{j}) \le r_c$. Then for any $\mathbf{i}'$ and $\mathbf{j}'$ which are of the same types as $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ respectively, $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} = \pm \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}'}_{\mathbf{j}'}$.*

We select a special sequence $\mathbf{i}$ from all the sequences of a given type $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$ as follows: for each $a_p \ne 0$, we choose the first a_p vertices in the p-th $A(m+1)$ from left to right. We will call this $\mathbf{i}$ the *standard sequence* of type $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$. For instance, if we let

$$\mathbf{i} = (1,3,4), \quad \mathbf{i}' = (2,3,4) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{j} = (\overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{5}),$$

in Example 4.5, then $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are standard sequences with type $(1,2,0)$ and $(0,2,1)$ respectively, while $\mathbf{i}'$ is a sequence of type $(1,2,0)$ that is not standard. By the symmetry property of $A(2)$, we have $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} = -\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}'}_{\mathbf{j}}$.

Definition 4.7. Let $\mathbf{i}$ be the standard sequence with $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$. If $0 \le a_1 \le a_2 \le \cdots \le a_{n+1} \le m+1$, we call $\mathbf{i}$ the standard sequence of *increasing type*.

Lemma 4.8. *Let* $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i})$ *and* $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j})$ *be the types of standard sequences* $\mathbf{i}$ *and* $\mathbf{j}$ *respectively. Then* $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}(\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}'}_{\mathbf{j}'})$*, where* $\mathbf{i}'$ *and* $\mathbf{j}'$ *are standard sequences of increasing types with* $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}')$ *and* $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}')$ *respectively obtained from* $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i})$ *and* $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j})$ *by re-arranging the entries in increasing order.*

Proof. This lemma follows immediately from the symmetry property of $B(n+1)$ (see (4.6)). For instance, in Example 4.5 we take $\mathbf{i} = (1,3,4)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (\overline{3}, \overline{4}, \overline{5})$, which are of types $(1,2,0)$ and $(0,2,1)$ respectively. Let $\sigma = (132) \in \mathrm{Sym}_6$, $\pi_1 = (132) \in \mathrm{Sym}_3$ and $\pi_2 = (23) \in \mathrm{Sym}_3$, then $\pi_1 B(n+1)\pi_2 = B(n+1)$ and we have

$$\sigma \circ \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} = \sigma \circ (A(2)^{\otimes n+1} w_{\mu_c} (\pi_1 B(n+1)\pi_2)^{\otimes 2} w_{\mu_c}^{-1} A(2)^{\otimes n+1})^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} = \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}'}_{\mathbf{j}'}$$

with $\mathbf{i}' = (3,5,6)$ and $\mathbf{j}' = (\overline{3}, \overline{5}, \overline{6})$, which are both of type $(0,1,2)$. □

4.2.2. *Garnir relations in minimal degree.* We start by recalling some basic facts on Garnir relations. Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be a tableau of shape λ. For $i < j$, let X and Y be subsets of the entries in the i-th and j-th columns of $\mathfrak{t}$ respectively. The *Garnir element* [15, §7.2] associated with $\mathfrak{t}$ and X, Y is

$$G_{X,Y} = \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma,$$

where the sum is over a set of the right coset representatives of $\mathrm{Sym}\{X\} \times \mathrm{Sym}\{Y\}$ in $\mathrm{Sym}\{X \cup Y\}$. We will choose right coset representatives as follows. For any k such that $1 \le k \le min(|X|, |Y|)$, we select elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ from X and $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k$ from Y. Let (a_i, b_i) denote the transposition in $\mathrm{Sym}\{X \cup Y\}$. Then the product $\prod_{i=1}^{k}(a_i, b_i)$ is a right coest representative.

Theorem 4.9 ([15])**.** *Let* $\mathfrak{t}$*,* X *and* Y *be as above. If* $|X \cup Y|$ *is greater than the length of the* i*-th column of* $\mathfrak{t}$*, then* $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})G_{X,Y} = 0$.

Example 4.10. Assume that

$$\mathfrak{t} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 5 \\ \cline{1-2} 6 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array},$$

and $X = \{2,6\}$, $Y = \{3,5\}$. Then $G_{X,Y} = (1) - (23) - (25) - (36) - (56) + (23)(56)$, and $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})G_{X,Y} = 0$ implies that

$$\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})(23) + \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})(25) + \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})(36) + \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})(56) - \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})(23)(56).$$

We proceed to give the new application of Garnir relations in the case of $r = r_c$. Assume that $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are standard sequences of increasing types with $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = \ell(\mathbf{j}) = k$. Recall the bijection π defined in (4.1). Let π and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{r_c}$ act on $\mathbf{i}$ or $\mathbf{j}$ component-wisely, e.g.,

$$\pi(\mathbf{i}) = (\pi(i_1), \pi(i_2), \dots, \pi(i_k)), \quad \sigma(\mathbf{i}) = (\sigma(i_1), \sigma(i_2), \dots, \sigma(i_k)).$$

Recall that $\widetilde{\Phi} = a_{m,n} w * \Phi$ and $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = \prod_{s=1}^{k}(i_s, j_s)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w^{-1}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) * \widetilde{\Phi} &= a_{m,n} w^{-1}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) w * \Phi = a_{m,n}(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{i}), w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{j})) * \mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}) \\ &= a_{m,n}\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{i})), \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{j})))), \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where $(\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{i})), \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{j}))) = \prod_{s=1}^{k}(\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(i_s)), \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(j_s)))$.

The following lemma will be used extensively in the remaining sections.

Lemma 4.11. *Maintaining the notation above, we have*

$$a_{m,n}\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{i})),\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{j}))))\in\mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}).$$

Proof. This is clear from (4.12) since $w^{-1}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})*\widetilde{\Phi}\in\mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}})$. □

To be more precise, we remark that $\pi\circ w_{\mu_c}^{-1}$ maps $\{1,2,\dots,r_c\}$ to the odd columns of $\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}$, while $\{\overline{1},\overline{2},\dots,\overline{r_c}\}$ to the even columns of $\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}$. Let $\mathfrak{t}_{i,j}^{\lambda_c}$ be the entry in the (i,j)-box of the tableau $\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}$, then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\mu_c=((n+1)^{m+1})$, we have $\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(i))=\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}_{i-(m+1)(p-1),2p-1}$ with $p=[\frac{i-1}{m+1}]+1$ for $i=1,2,\dots,r_c$. Similarly, we have $\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\overline{j}))=\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}_{j-(m+1)(q-1),2q}$ with $q=[\frac{j-1}{m+1}]+1$ for $j=1,2,\dots,r_c$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathfrak{t}_{\mu_c}w_{\mu_c}^{-1}=\mathfrak{t}^{\mu_c}$, the lemma follows from straightforward calculation. □

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ be standard sequences of increasing types $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i})=(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_{n+1})$ and $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j})=(b_1,b_2,\dots,b_{n+1})$, respectively. If $a_p+b_q>m+1$ for some $1\le p,\ q\le n+1$, then*

$$\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}G_{X_p,Y_q})=(G_{X_p,Y_q})^{\sharp}*\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c})=0,$$

where $X_p=\{\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}_{i,2p-1}\mid i=1,2,\dots,a_p\}$ and $Y_q=\{\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}_{j,2q}\mid j=1,2,\dots,b_q\}$.

Proof. It is immediate by Lemma 4.12 and Theorem 4.9. □

Example 4.14. (OSp(1|2)) Let $m=n=1$. Then $\lambda_c=(4,4)$, $\mu_c=(2,2)$, $w_{\mu_c}=(23)$, $r_c=4$ and $a_{1,1}=2^{-6}$. See Figure 8 for corresponding picture for $\widetilde{\Phi}$. Recall that

$$\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}=\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3&4\\\hline 5&6&7&8\\\hline\end{array}.$$

By Lemma 4.12 we have

$$\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(1))=1,\quad \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(2))=5,\quad \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(3))=3,\quad \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(4))=7,$$
$$\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\overline{1}))=2,\quad \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\overline{2}))=6,\quad \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\overline{3}))=4,\quad \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\overline{4}))=8.$$

If we take $\mathbf{i}=(3,4)$ and $\mathbf{j}=(\overline{1},\overline{3})$, then $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i})=(0,2)$, $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j})=(1,1)$ and $(\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{i})),\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{j})))=(23)(47)$. Let $X=\{3,7\}$ and $Y=\{4\}$, the corresponding Garnir element is $G_{X,Y}=(1)-(34)-(47)$, and the Garnir relation suggests that

$$\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(47)=\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}-\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(34).$$

Multiplying the above equation by (23) from right side and then applying $\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ-)$ to it, we obtain

$$\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(23)(47))=\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(23))-\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(243)).\tag{4.13}$$

Note that $\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(243))=(24)*\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(23))$. By Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.8, we obtain that both $a_{1,1}\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(23))$ and $a_{1,1}\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(243))$ belong to $\mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}'}^{\mathbf{i}'})$ with $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}')=\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}')=(0,1)$, and $a_{1,1}\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c}\circ\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(23)(47))\in\mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}})$ with $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i})=(0,2)$ and $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j})=(1,1)$. Using this fact together with (4.13), we conclude that $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}$ with $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i})=(0,2)$ and $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j})=(1,1)$ is generated by $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}'}^{\mathbf{i}'}$ with $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}')=\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}')=(0,1)$.

The following crucial proposition is inspired by Example 4.14.

Propsition 4.15. *Let*

$$\mathcal{I}_{r_c} := \left\{ \{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{i},\mathbf{j} \text{ are standard sequences of increasing types with} \\ \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = (a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n+1}) \text{ and } \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) = (b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_{n+1}) \\ \text{such that } a_{n+1}+b_{n+1} \le m+1 \end{array} \right\}. \tag{4.14}$$

Then for any standard sequences $\mathbf{i}$ *and* $\mathbf{j}$ *of increasing types, the element* $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ *belongs to the 2-sided ideal in* $B^{r_c}_{r_c}(m-2n)$ *generated by the set* $\{\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\} \in \mathcal{I}_{r_c}\}$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = (a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n+1})$ and $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) = (b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_{n+1})$. We use induction on $a_{n+1}+b_{n+1}$ to prove the proposition. When $a_{n+1}+b_{n+1} \le m+1$, there is nothing to prove. Let $t = a_{n+1}$, $s = b_{n+1}$, and $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = \ell(\mathbf{j}) = k \le r_c$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $t \ge s$ and $s+t > m+1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i} &= (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{k-t+1}, \ldots, i_{k-s}, i_{k-s+1}, \ldots, i_k), \\ \mathbf{j} &= (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{k-s}, j_{k-s+1}, \ldots, j_k) \end{aligned}$$

be the corresponding standard sequences. Let $X_{n+1} = \{\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(i)) \mid i = i_{k-t+1},\ldots,i_k\}$ and $Y_{n+1} = \{\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(j)) \mid j = j_{k-s+1},\ldots,j_k\}$, and take the following subsequences

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{i}} &= (i_{k-s+1}, i_{k-s+2}, \ldots, i_k), \quad \bar{\mathbf{i}}^c = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{k-s}), \\ \bar{\mathbf{j}} &= (j_{k-s+1}, j_{k-s+2}, \ldots, j_k), \quad \bar{\mathbf{j}}^c = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{k-s}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$G_{X_{n+1},Y_{n+1}} = \sum_{\sigma\in R} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma = (-1)^s(\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})), \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{j}}))) + \sum_{\sigma\in R'} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma,$$

where R is the set of the right coset representatives of $\mathrm{Sym}\{X_{n+1}\}\times\mathrm{Sym}\{Y_{n+1}\}$ in $\mathrm{Sym}\{X_{n+1}\cup Y_{n+1}\}$, and $R' = R\backslash\{(\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})), \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{j}})))\}$. Using Lemma 4.13, we obtain

$$\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ (\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})), \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{j}})))) = (-1)^{s+1} \sum_{\sigma\in R'} \epsilon(\sigma)\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ c_{\lambda_c}\sigma),$$

from which we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ (\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{i})), \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{j})))) \\ &= (-1)^{s+1} \sum_{\sigma\in R'} \epsilon(\sigma)\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ c_{\lambda_c}\sigma(\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{i}}^c)), \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{j}}^c)))). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Given $\sigma \in R'$, by Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.8, we have

$$a_{m,n}\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ c_{\lambda_c}\sigma(\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{i}}^c)), \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{j}}^c)))) \in \mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}(\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}'}_{\mathbf{j}'}),$$

where $\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}'$ are standard sequences of increasing types with $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}') = (a'_1,\ldots,a'_{n+1})$ and $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}') = (b'_1,\ldots,b'_{n+1})$. Note that $a'_{n+1}+b'_{n+1} \le a_{n+1}+b_{n+1}$, $\ell(\mathbf{i}') = \ell(\mathbf{j}') < \ell(\mathbf{i}) = \ell(\mathbf{j}) = k$, and

$$a'_{n+1}+b'_{n+1} \le \ell(\mathbf{i}')+\ell(\mathbf{j}') < \ell(\mathbf{i})+\ell(\mathbf{j}) = \sum_{p=1}^{n+1}(a_p+b_p). \tag{4.16}$$

We can always assume that $a'_{n+1}+b'_{n+1} < a_{n+1}+b_{n+1}$, since when the equality happens we use Garnir relations again as above, and then by (4.16) after finite repeated steps we stop at $a'_{n+1}+b'_{n+1} < a_{n+1}+b_{n+1}$ for some $\mathbf{i}'$ and $\mathbf{j}'$. Thus, by induction each summand in the right hand side of (4.15) is generated by $\{\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\} \in \mathcal{I}_{r_c}\}$, whence the lemma follows. □

4.3. **Generators of** $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ **for minimal** r**.** The basis elements of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ given in Theorem 3.24 obviously form a generating set of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ as a 2-sided ideal of $B_{r_c}(m-2n)$. However this set is too large, thus is unwilely to use, say, for describing presentations of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$. Here we construct a more convenient set of generators for $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$.

Using Lemma 4.8 together with Proposition 4.3, we conclude that $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ is generated by the elements $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}$ with standard sequences $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ of increasing types with $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = \ell(\mathbf{j}) \leq r_c$. Then the following result immediately follows from Proposition 4.15.

Theorem 4.16. *Assume that* $r_c = (m+1)(n+1)$*, then as a 2-sided ideal of* $B_{r_c}(m-2n)$

$$\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c} = \langle\, \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} \mid \{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\} \in \mathcal{I}_{r_c} \,\rangle_{r_c}. \tag{4.17}$$

5. SFT in the general case

Let $r \geq r_c$. Recall that there is a canonical embedding $B_{r_c}(m-2n) \hookrightarrow B_r(m-2n)$ (see Remark 2.5). In this section, we shall show that the embedding image of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ generates $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ as a 2-sided ideal of $B_r(m-2n)$.

5.1. **Algebraic structure of** $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$**.** We investigate the algebraic structure of $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ in general case. In particular, we shall prove that it is singly generated as left $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-module.

The following lemma identifies the simple submodules in $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0$ and $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ explicitly.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that* $r \geq r_c$*. Let* $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda$ *be the Young symmetriser associated with* $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$*, then as left* $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$*-modules,*

$$\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0 = \bigoplus_{2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c} \hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_{2r} \cong \bigoplus_{2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c} S^\lambda. \tag{5.1}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r = \bigoplus_{2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c} \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_{2r}) \cong \bigoplus_{2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c} S^\lambda. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. For any $\lambda \in 2\mathcal{P}_r$ such that $\lambda \supseteq \lambda_c$, the element $\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_\lambda \in \mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0$ is nonzero. This can be proved in a similar way as in Lemma 3.21. Therefore, $\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_\lambda \mathcal{A}_{2r} \cong S^\lambda$ is a nonzero simple submodule in $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0$ and hence the lemma follows from Theorem 3.14 by counting the dimension of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{2r}^0$. □

This immediately leads to the following theorem, which contains Theorem 3.24 as a special case.

Theorem 5.2. *The set* $\Gamma := \{d(\mathfrak{t})^{-1} * \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_\lambda) \mid 2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c, \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda)\}$ *is a basis for* $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ *for any* $r \geq r_c$*.*

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $r \geq r_c$*,* $\lambda \vdash 2r$ *and* $\lambda \supseteq \lambda_c$*. Assume that* $\mathfrak{s}$ *is the standard tableau of shape* λ_c *lying in the top left corner of* $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$*. Then* $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda = \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})\alpha(\mathfrak{t}^\lambda;\mathfrak{s})$*, where* $\alpha(\mathfrak{t}^\lambda;\mathfrak{s}) \in \mathcal{A}_{2r}$*, and* $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda$ *and* $\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})$ *are the Young symmetrisers associated with* $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$ *and* $\mathfrak{s}$ *respectively.*

Proof. The proof is based on Remark 3.11 and the following facts about the symmetric group.

(1) The minimal central idempotent in $\mathcal{A}_n$ associated with the shape $\lambda \vdash n$ is given by $\mathfrak{P}_\lambda = (\frac{\dim S^\lambda}{n!})^2 \sum_{\mathfrak{t}\in\mathrm{Std}(\lambda)} \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})$, and hence $\sum_{\lambda\vdash r} \mathfrak{P}_\lambda = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$ (see e.g., [12, Proposition 9.3.14]).

(2) Given positive integers $k \leq n$, let $\lambda \vdash n$, $\mu \vdash k$ be partitions, and let $\mathfrak{t}$ be a Young tableau of shape λ containing a Young subtableau $\mathfrak{s}$ of shape μ. There exists a subset of permutations $L(\mathfrak{t};\mathfrak{s}) \subset \mathrm{Sym}_n$ and $m_\sigma \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})\mathfrak{c}_\mu(\mathfrak{s}) = \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})\left(\sum_{\sigma\in L(\mathfrak{t};\mathfrak{s})} m_\sigma \sigma\right)$ is nonzero (see e.g., [24, Theorem 1.1]).

Using the first fact, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})\mathcal{A}_{2r} = \left(\sum_{\lambda\vdash r}\sum_{\mathfrak{t}\in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda)} \left(\frac{\dim S^\lambda}{n!}\right)^2 \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})\right)\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})\mathcal{A}_{2r} = \bigoplus_{(\lambda,\mathfrak{t})\in\Lambda} \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})\mathcal{A}_{2r},$$

where $\Lambda = \{(\lambda,\mathfrak{t}) \mid \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s}) \neq 0,\ \forall \lambda \vdash 2r, \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda)\}$. As $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})$ is a projection operator mapping $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$ onto a simple module isomorphic to the right Specht module $\widetilde{S^\lambda}$, we have $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})\mathcal{A}_{2r} \cong \widetilde{S^\lambda}$ if $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s}) \neq 0$. This reduces the above equation to

$$\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})\mathcal{A}_{2r} = \bigoplus_{(\lambda,\mathfrak{t})\in\Lambda} \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(\mathfrak{t})\mathcal{A}_{2r}. \tag{5.3}$$

Using assumptions on λ and $\mathfrak{s}$, we deduce from fact (2) that $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda\mathcal{A}_{2r}$ is a direct summand of the right hand side of (5.3). In particular, $\mathfrak{c}_\lambda$ has an expression of the required form. □

Now we arrive at the following proposition.

Propsition 5.4. *Assume that $r \geq r_c$. Then* $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ *is singly generated as left $\mathcal{A}_{2r}$-module by $\Phi \otimes I_{r-r_c}$, i.e.,*

$$\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r = \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}\mathcal{A}_{2r}) = \mathcal{A}_{2r} * (\Phi \otimes I_{r-r_c}). \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}) = \Phi \otimes I_{r-r_c}$, it is enough to prove the first equation of (5.4). Clearly, $\mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}\mathcal{A}_{2r}) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$, so we only need to prove the converse. Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be the subtableau of shape λ_c in the top-left corner of $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$, where $2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c$. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that

$$\mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_\lambda) = \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})\alpha(\mathfrak{t}^\lambda;\mathfrak{s})) = \alpha(\mathfrak{t}^\lambda;\mathfrak{s})^\sharp * \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})). \tag{5.5}$$

On the other hand, it is clear from diagram that there exists $h \in H_r$ (see Example 5.5 below) such that

$$\mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})) = h * \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}), \tag{5.6}$$

where $\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}$ is the Young symmetriser on $\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}$ and H_r is defined as in (4.2). Using (5.6) in (5.5) together with Theorem 5.2, we complete the proof. □

Example 5.5. In the case of $\mathrm{OSp}(1|2)$, let $r = 5$ and $\lambda = (6,4) \supset \lambda_c = (4,4)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{t}^\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3&4&5&6\\\hline 7&8&9&10\\\cline{1-4}\end{array}, \qquad \mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3&4\\\hline 5&6&7&8\\\hline\end{array}, \qquad \mathfrak{s} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&3&4\\\hline 7&8&9&10\\\hline\end{array}.$$

Now $\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})$ are Young symmetrisers associated with $\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}$ and $\mathfrak{s}$, respectively. Follow the proof of Lemma 3.21 one easily has that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\mathfrak{s})) &= (5,7,9) \circ \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}) \circ (6,10,8)\\ &= (5,7,9)(6,8,10) * \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}),\end{aligned}$$

and hence $h = (5,7,9)(6,8,10) \in \pi(H_r) \cong H_r$. The existence of h remains valid for the general case that $2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c = ((2n+2)^{m+1})$ with $r \geq (m+1)(n+1)$.

5.2. **Generators of** $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ **for arbitrary** r**.** In light of Theorem 3.24 and Proposition 5.4, we shall show that the basis elements $\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}}$ form a generating set for $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$, and hence $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ generates $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$.



FIGURE 10. $\Phi(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}), k \le l$



FIGURE 11. $\Phi(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}), k \ge l$

Fix $r \ge r_c$ and note that $\Phi \in B_{r_c}^{r_c}(m-2n)$. Given sequences $\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}$ (see (4.11)) with $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = k$ and $\ell(\mathbf{j}) = l$, we let $\Phi(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})$ be the element in $B_r(m-2n)$ depicted in Figure 10 if $k \le l$, and that in Figure 11 if $k \ge l$. We remark that $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are allowed to be empty in the figure.

Lemma 5.6. *There is the following dichotomy:*
(1) If $k \le l$, then $\Phi(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \langle \Phi(\mathbf{i},\bar{\mathbf{j}})\rangle_r$, where $\bar{\mathbf{j}} = (j_{l-k+1}, j_{l-k+2}, \dots, j_l)$.
(2) If $k \ge l$, then $\Phi(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \in \langle \Phi(\bar{\mathbf{i}},\mathbf{j})\rangle_r$, where $\bar{\mathbf{i}} = (i_{k-l+1}, i_{k-l+2}, \dots, i_k)$.

Proof. For part (1), it is trivial when either $\mathbf{i}$ or $\mathbf{j}$ is empty (we set $\bar{\mathbf{j}} = \varnothing$ if $\mathbf{i} = \varnothing$). Otherwise, note that there exists a Brauer (r,r)-diagram D, that consists of the horizontal edges

- $\{j_s, r_c + l - k - s + 1\}$, $\forall 1 \le s \le l-k$, which have vertices in the top row,
- $\{\overline{r_c-(l-k)+1}, \overline{r_c-(l-k)+2}\}, \{\overline{r_c-(l-k)+3}, \overline{r_c-(l-k)+4}\}, \cdots,$ $\{\overline{r_c+l-k-1}, \overline{r_c+l-k}\}$, which have vertices in the bottom row,

and vertical edges without crossings, such that

$$\Phi(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) = \Phi(\mathbf{i},\bar{\mathbf{j}}) \circ D,$$

which completes the proof. Part (2) can be proved similarly. □

Lemma 5.7. *Assume that $r \ge r_c$. Then for all $\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}$, the elements $\Phi(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})$ belong to $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$, and are contained in the 2-sided ideal of $B_r(m-2n)$ generated by the set*

$$\{\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}} \otimes I_{r-r_c} \mid \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda_c)\}. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.6, it suffices to prove the lemma when $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = \ell(\mathbf{j}) = k$. In this case

$$\Phi(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) = \Phi^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes I_{r-r_c} \in \mathcal{O}_{H_r}((\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) * \Phi \otimes I_{r-r_c}),$$

and $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) * \Phi \otimes I_{r-r_c} = (\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) * \mathbb{U}_r(\hat{A}^r \circ c_{\lambda_c}) \in \mathrm{Ker}F^r_r$. This leads to the first assertion. The second one is clear since $(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})*\Phi\otimes I_{r-r_c}$ is a linear combination of elements of the set (5.7). □

Theorem 5.8. *Let $r \geq r_c$, then* $\mathrm{Ker}F^r_r$ *as a 2-sided ideal of $B_r(m-2n)$ is generated by*

$$\{\Phi_{\mathfrak{t}} \otimes I_{r-r_c} \mid \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda_c)\}. \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. The $r = r_c$ case is known from Theorem 3.24. Suppose that $r > r_c$, then by Proposition 5.4 it suffices to show that for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2r}$

$$\sigma * (\Phi \otimes I_{r-r_c}) \in \langle \Phi_{\mathfrak{t}} \otimes I_{r-r_c} \mid \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Std}(\lambda_c)\rangle_r. \tag{5.9}$$

Recall that Sym_{2r} is identified with the permutation group on $\{1,2,\dots,r,\overline{1},\overline{2},\dots,\overline{r}\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i} &= (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \quad \text{with} \ \ 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq r_c, \\ \mathbf{j} &= (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_l) \quad \text{with} \ \ \overline{1} \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_l \leq \overline{r_c} \end{aligned}$$

such that $\sigma(i_p) \in \{\overline{1},\overline{2},\dots,\overline{r}\}$ for all $1 \leq p \leq k$ and $\sigma(j_q) \in \{1,2,\dots,r\}$ for all $1 \leq q \leq l$.

Note that $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are allowed to be empty. If $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = k \leq \ell(\mathbf{j}) = l$, then in $\sigma * (\Phi \otimes I_{r-r_c})$, there are at least $l-k$ bottom horizontal edges among the vertices labelled by elements in

$$\{\overline{1},\overline{2},\dots,\overline{r}\}\backslash\{\{j_1,j_2,\dots,j_l\} \cup \{\sigma(i_p)|\ 1 \leq p \leq k\}\}.$$

Therefore, there exist $(\sigma_1,\sigma_2) \in H_r$ and a Brauer diagram D of degree $r-(r_c-k+l)$ such that

$$\sigma_1 \circ (\sigma * (\Phi \otimes I_{r-r_c})) \circ \sigma_2 = \Phi(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) \circ (I_{r_c-k+l} \otimes D).$$

Combining this fact and Lemma 5.7, we are led to assertion (5.9). The case with $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = k > \ell(\mathbf{j}) = l$ can be proved in a similar way. □

Theorem 5.9. *Let $\mathcal{I}_{r_c}$ be as defined in (4.14) and $r \geq r_c$. As a 2-sided ideal of $B_r(m-2n)$,*

$$\mathrm{Ker}F^r_r = \langle\ \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \otimes I_{r-r_c} \mid \{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\} \in \mathcal{I}_{r_c}\ \rangle_r. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.8 and Theorem 3.24, $\mathrm{Ker}F^r_r$ is generated by the embedding image of $\mathrm{Ker}F^{r_c}_{r_c}$. Thus, this theorem follows immediately from Theorem 4.16. □

Remark 5.10. We identity $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ with its embedding image and briefly say that $\mathrm{Ker}F^r_r$ is generated by $\{\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\} \in \mathcal{I}_{r_c}\}$ provided that $r \geq r_c$.

We now summarise results obtained on the second fundamental theorem of invariant theory for orthosymplectic supergroups.

Theorem 5.11. *Assume that* $\mathrm{sdim}(V) = (m|2n)$. *Then the surjective algebra homomorphism $F^r_r : B_r(m-2n) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V^{\otimes r})$ has the following properties:*

(1) If $r < r_c$, the map F^r_r is an isomorphism.
(2) If $r \geq r_c$, then $\dim \mathrm{Ker}F^r_r = \sum_{2\mathcal{P}_r \ni \lambda \supseteq \lambda_c} \dim S^\lambda$, *and* $\mathrm{Ker}F^r_r$ *as a 2-sided ideal of $B_r(m-2n)$ is generated by the set $\{\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid \{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}\} \in \mathcal{I}_{r_c}\}$.*

5.3. **An application to the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra.** We now turn to the category of finite dimensional representations of the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$. We have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{osp}(V)}(V^{\otimes 2r},\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V^{\otimes 2r},\mathbb{C})$ if and only if $r - \frac{m(2n+1)}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by [22, Corollary 5.7], and hence

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{osp}(V)}(V^{\otimes r}) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V^{\otimes r}) \text{ if and only if } r - \frac{m(2n+1)}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

By Theorem 5.11, $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{OSp}(V)}(V^{\otimes r})$ is isomorphic to $B_r(m-2n)$ if and only if $r < r_c$. Hence we have the following result.

Theorem 5.12. *The Brauer algebra $B_r(m-2n)$ is isomorphic to the endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{osp}(V)}(V^{\otimes r})$ of $V^{\otimes r}$ over $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$ if and only if*

(1) m is odd and $r < (m+1)(n+1)$; or
(2) m is even and $r < mn + \frac{m}{2}$.

This result considerably strengthens [9, Theorem A], which is the main result of that paper.

6. SFT of OSp(1|2n)

We write OSp(V) as OSp($1|2n$) when sdim$V = (1|2n)$. In this case, results proved in previous sections can be significantly sharpened. In particular, KerF_r^r is singly generated in the same way as in the classical cases of the orthogonal and symplectic groups [18, 19]. We will construct an explicit formula for the generator of KerF_r^r.

Let us start from a technical lemma which will be used later.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\lambda = (2^m)\,(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$ and C_1 be the first column of $\mathfrak{t}^\lambda$, then we have the following identity*

$$\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(12)\alpha^-(C_1) = (m-1)!\mathfrak{c}_\lambda, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\alpha^-(C_1) = \sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sym}\{C_1\}} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma$.

Proof. Let $X = C_1 = \{1,3,\dots,2m-1\}$ and $Y = \{2\}$, then $G_{X,Y} = (1) - \sum_{i=1}^m (2,2i-1)$. Thus, we have the Garnir relation

$$\mathfrak{c}_\lambda = \mathfrak{c}_\lambda \sum_{i=1}^m (2,2i-1). \tag{6.2}$$

Note that $\alpha^-(C_1) = \alpha^-(\{3,5,\dots,2m-1\})((1) - \sum_{i=2}^m (1,2i-1))$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(12)\alpha^-(C_1) &= \mathfrak{c}_\lambda(12)\alpha^-(\{3,5,\dots,2m-1\})((1) - \sum_{i=2}^m (1,2i-1)) \\ &= (m-1)!\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(12)((1) - \sum_{i=2}^m (1,2i-1)) = (m-1)!\mathfrak{c}_\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

where the last equation follows from

$$\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(12)(1,2i-1) = -\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(1,2i-1)(12)(1,2i-1) = -\mathfrak{c}_\lambda(2,2i-1)$$

and the Garnir relation (6.2). □

Now we are ready to construct the generator of $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ for $\mathrm{OSp}(1|2n)$. Note that by Theorem 5.11, it suffices to construct the generator of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c}$ with $r_c = 2(n+1)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{i}$ is a standard sequence of increasing type $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$ with $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = k \leq r_c$. Then $0 \leq a_p \leq 2$ for all p in the present case. We define the set of increasing types of standard sequences by

$$S(n+1) := \left\{ (0^{n+1-k}1^k) \mid 0 \leq k \leq n+1 \right\},$$

where for simplicity $(0^{n+1-k}1^k)$ denotes that 0 appears $n+1-k$ times and 1 appears k times. The following lemma is immediate by Theorem 4.16.

Lemma 6.2. $\mathrm{Ker}F_{r_c}^{r_c} = \langle\, \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} \mid \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) \in S(n+1) \,\rangle_{r_c}$.

We define

$$E := \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} \quad \text{with } \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) = (1^{n+1}). \tag{6.3}$$

In particular, by Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.12,

$$a_{m,n}\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c}(\pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{i})), \pi(w_{\mu_c}^{-1}(\mathbf{j})))) = a_{m,n}\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c} \prod_{s=1}^{n+1}(2s-1, 2s)) \in \mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}(E), \tag{6.4}$$

where $a_{m,n} = (2^{n+1}(n+1)!)^{-2}$, $\mathbf{i} = (1, 3, \dots, r_c - 1)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (\overline{1}, \overline{3}, \dots, \overline{r_c - 1})$. Our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 6.3. *Assume that $G = \mathrm{OSp}(V)$ with $\mathrm{sdim}(V) = (1|2n)$. If $r < 2(n+1)$, the algebra homomorphism $F_r^r : B_r(1-2n) \to \mathrm{End}_G(V^{\otimes r})$ is an isomorphism. If $r \geq 2(n+1)$, then $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ is generated as a 2-sided ideal of $B_r(1-2n)$ by the element E defined by* (6.3).

Proof. We only need to prove the second assertion. For each k such that $0 \leq k \leq n+1$, let $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ be standard sequences with increasing types $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) = (0^{n+1-k}1^k)$. By Lemma 6.2, it suffices to prove that $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} \in \langle E \rangle_{r_c}$. In this case, by Lemma 4.11 and Lemma 4.12 we have

$$a_{m,n}\mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c} \prod_{s=n+2-k}^{n+1}(2s-1, 2s)) \in \mathcal{O}_{H_{r_c}}(\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}). \tag{6.5}$$

Let C_{2t-1} be the $(2t-1)$-th column of $\mathfrak{t}^{\lambda_c}$ for all $1 \leq t \leq n+1-k$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{t=1}^{n+1-k} \alpha^-(C_{2t-1}) * \mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \prod_{s=1}^{n+1}(2s-1, 2s)) \\
&= \mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c} \prod_{s=1}^{n+1}(2s-1, 2s) \prod_{t=1}^{n+1-k} \alpha^-(C_{2t-1})) \\
&= \mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c} \prod_{s=1}^{n+1-k}(2s-1, 2s) \prod_{t=1}^{n+1-k} \alpha^-(C_{2t-1}) \prod_{s=n+2-k}^{n+1}(2s-1, 2s)) \\
&= \mathbb{U}_{r_c}(\hat{A}^{r_c} \circ \mathfrak{c}_{\lambda_c} \prod_{s=n+2-k}^{n+1}(2s-1, 2s)),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where the last equation follows from Lemma 6.1 ($m = 2$ case). Note that $\prod_{t=1}^{n+1-k} \alpha^-(C_{2t-1})$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}H_{r_c}$. Combining (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6), we conclude that $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} \in \langle E \rangle_{r_c}$. □

Remark 6.4. The generator E is not an idempotent or quasi idempotent, even although its is constructed from a quasi idempotent $\widetilde{\Phi}$ (see Lemma 4.1). One can verify this directly in the case of OSp(1|2); specifically, $(\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}})^2 \neq c\,\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) = (1,1)$. This is very different from the case of classical groups [18, 19].

7. Applications to the orthogonal and symplectic groups

As an application of results obtained in previous sections, we re-derive the main results of [18, 19] on SFTs of the orthogonal and symplectic groups over $\mathbb{C}$. Our treatment here will be uniform and more conceptual.

7.1. **The symplectic group.** We take $V = V_{\bar{1}}$ to be purely odd with $\dim V_{\bar{1}} = 2n$. Then $m = 0$, and the non-degenerate bilinear form $(-,-)$ on V is skew-symmetric. Hence the isometry group of this form is the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$. Applying Corollary 2.8, we deduce that

$$F_r^r : B_r(-2n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Sp}(2n)}(V^{\otimes r})$$

is a surjective algebra homomorphism. Since $r_c = n+1$, we immediately obtain the following result from Corollary 3.15.

Lemma 7.1. $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r = 0$ *if* $r \leq n$.

Maintaining the notation in Section 4.1.1, we have

$$\lambda_c = (2n+2), \quad \mu_c = (n+1), \quad w_{\mu_c} = (1).$$

Therefore, the nonzero element $\widetilde{\Phi} \in \mathrm{Ker}F_{n+1}^{n+1}$ defined in (4.4) now reads

$$\widetilde{\Phi} = \sum_{i=0}^{[\frac{n+1}{2}]} c_i \Xi_{n+1}(i) \quad \text{with } c_i = \frac{1}{(2^i i!)^2 (n+1-2i)!},$$

where $\Xi_{n+1}(i)$ is defined pictorially as in Figure 5. Note that the element $\widetilde{\Phi}$ exactly coincides with the element Φ defined by Lehrer and Zhang in [19, Section 5]. We also immediately recover [19, Lemma 5.3].

Lemma 7.2. [19, Lemma 5.3] *The element* $\widetilde{\Phi}$ *has the following properties:*
(1) $\widetilde{\Phi} \in \mathrm{Ker}F_{n+1}^{n+1}$*;*
(2) $e_i\widetilde{\Phi} = \widetilde{\Phi}e_i = 0$ *for all* $e_i \in B_{n+1}(-2n)$*;*
(3) $\widetilde{\Phi}^2 = (n+1)!\widetilde{\Phi}$*, hence* $E := \frac{1}{(n+1)!}\widetilde{\Phi}$ *is an idempotent.*

Proof. Part (1) follows immediately from the definition of $\widetilde{\Phi}$. Part (2) is a special case of Lemma 3.16, in which we take $\Psi = \widetilde{\Phi}$ and $i, j = i+2 \in \{1, 3, \ldots, 2n+1\}$ or $\{2, 4, \ldots, 2(n+1)\}$. Part (3) is immediate by Lemma 4.1. □

We now easily recover the second fundamental theorem of invariant theory for symplectic groups proved in [19, Theorem 5.9].

Theorem 7.3. [19, Theorem 5.9] *The algebra homomorphism* $F_r^r : B_r(-2n) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Sp}(2n)}(V^{\otimes r})$ *is an isomorphism if* $r \leq n$*. If* $r \geq n+1$*, then* $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ *is generated as a 2-sided ideal of* $B_r(-2n)$ *by the idempotent* $E = \frac{1}{(n+1)!}\widetilde{\Phi}$*.*

Proof. It remains to show that $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ is generated by E for $r \geq n+1$. It follows from Theorem 5.9 that $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ is generated by the generators of $\mathrm{Ker}F_{n+1}^{n+1}$. However, by Theorem 3.24 $\dim \mathrm{Ker}F_{n+1}^{n+1} = 1$. Together with Lemma 7.2 the assertion follows. □

7.2. **The orthogonal group.** We take $V = V_{\bar{0}}$ to be purely even with $\dim V_{\bar{0}} = m$. Then $n = 0$, and the nondegenerate bilinear form $(-,-)$ on V is symmetric. The isometry group of the form is $\mathrm{O}(m)$. Applying Corollary 2.8 again, we obtain the surjective algebra homomorphism

$$F_r^r : B_r(m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{O}(m)}(V^{\otimes r}).$$

Now $r_c = m+1$. It follows from Corollary 3.15 that

Lemma 7.4. $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r = 0$ *if* $r \leq m$.

In the present case, $\lambda_c = (2^{m+1})$ and $\mu_c = (1^{m+1})$. By Theorem 3.14, we have

$$\dim \mathrm{Ker}F_{m+1}^{m+1} = \dim S^{(2^{m+1})} = \frac{(2m+2)(2m+1)\cdots(m+3)}{(m+1)!}.$$

This agrees with the result of [5, 14]. The nonzero element $\frac{\widetilde{\Phi}}{(m+1)!} \in \mathrm{Ker}F_{m+1}^{m+1}$ has the form

$$\frac{\widetilde{\Phi}}{(m+1)!} = y_\mu = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{m+1}} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma.$$

For convenience, we shall omit the scalar multiple and write $\widetilde{\Phi} := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{m+1}} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma$ instead.

Suppose that $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are standard sequences with $\ell(\mathbf{i}) = \ell(\mathbf{j}) = k$ $(0 \leq k \leq m+1)$, which in the present case are given by $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, \ldots, k)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{k})$. Hence by using symmetry properties of $\widetilde{\Phi}$, we can depict $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}$ pictorially as



.

Note that $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}$ with $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) = k$ is exactly the generator E_k introduced in [18, Definition 4.2] and [19, Section 6]. Here we immediately recover the following key result in [18, Proposition 6.1].

Lemma 7.5. ([18, Proposition 6.1]) *If* $r \geq m+1$, *then* $\mathrm{Ker}F_r^r$ *is generated as a 2-sided ideal by* $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}$ *with* $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) = (k)$ *for all* $k = 0, 1, \ldots, [\frac{m+1}{2}]$.

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 5.9. □

Denote by $B_{m+1}^{(1)}(m)$ the two-sided ideal generated by e_1 in $B_{m+1}(m)$, and define

$$F_k := (\sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{m+1-k}} \epsilon(\sigma)\sigma)(\sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Sym}_k} \epsilon(\tau)\tau),$$

where Sym_{m+1-k} is the permutation group on $\{1, 2, \ldots, m+1-k\}$ and Sym_k is the permutation group on $\{m+2-k, m+3-k, \ldots, m+1\}$. Let $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) = (k)$, then from the

previous figure for $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ we have

$$\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \equiv F_k \pmod{B^{(1)}_{m+1}(m)} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}\,\sigma = \sigma\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} = \epsilon(\sigma)\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}, \tag{7.1}$$

where $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}_{m+1-k} \times \mathrm{Sym}_k$. The following lemma is [18, Lemma 5.10, Corollary 5.14] and [19, Lemma 6.2]

Lemma 7.6. *Let* $\mathbf{i}$ *and* $\mathbf{j}$ *be standard sequences with* $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) = k$, *then* $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ *has the following properties:*

(1) $e_i\,\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} = \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}\,e_i = 0$ *for all* $e_i \in B_{m+1}(m)$*;*

(2) $(\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}})^2 = k!(m+1-k)!\,\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$, *hence* $E_k := a_k\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ *with* $a_k = (k!(m+1-k)!)^{-1}$ *is an idempotent for all* $0 \le k \le m+1$.

Proof. Part (1) is immediate from Lemma 3.16. Also, we deduce that $\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}\,D = 0$ for any $D \in B^{(1)}_{m+1}(m)$ and it follows from (7.1) that $(\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}})^2 = \widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}\,F_k = k!(m+1-k)!\,\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}}$. □

Now we give a short proof of the the second fundamental theorem of invariant theory for orthogonal group obtained in [18, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 7.7. [18, Theorem 4.3] *The algebra homomorphism* $F^r_r : B_r(m) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{O}(m)}(V^{\otimes r})$ *is an isomorphism if* $r \le m$. *If* $r \ge m+1$, *then* $\mathrm{Ker}F^r_r$ *is generated as a 2-sided ideal of* $B_r(m)$ *by the idempotent* $E_{[\frac{m+1}{2}]}$ *with* $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) = ([\frac{m+1}{2}])$.

Proof. We only need to consider the case with $r \ge m+1$. By Lemma 7.5, it suffices to show the following chain of 2-sided ideals in $B_{m+1}(m)$

$$\langle E_0\rangle_{m+1} \subseteq \langle E_2\rangle_{m+1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \langle E_{[\frac{m+1}{2}]}\rangle_{m+1}.$$

To prove this, we claim that $\langle F_k\rangle_0 \subseteq \langle F_{k+1}\rangle_0$ for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots, [\frac{m+1}{2}] - 1$, where $\langle F_k\rangle_0$ denotes the two-sided ideal generated by F_k in Sym_{m+1}. Actually, by Pieri's rule we know that $\langle F_k\rangle_0$ is the sum of 2-sided simple ideals such that each simple ideal corresponds to Young diagram with at least $m+1-k$ boxes in its first column and $m+1$ boxes in the first and second columns, whence the claim follows.

Now there exist $\sigma_{kl}, \tau_{kl} \in \mathrm{Sym}_{m+1}$ such that $F_k = \sum_l \sigma_{kl}F_{k+1}\tau_{kl}$. Note that $E_{k+1} = a_{k+1}\widetilde{\Phi}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{j}} \equiv a_{k+1}F_{k+1} \pmod{B^{(1)}_{m+1}(m)}$ with $\mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathrm{ty}(\mathbf{j}) = (k+1)$. By part (1) of Lemma 7.6, we have

$$E_k \sum_l \sigma_{kl}E_{k+1}\tau_{kl} = a_{k+1}E_k \sum_l \sigma_{kl}F_{k+1}\tau_{kl} = a_{k+1}E_kF_k = k!(m+1-k)!a_{k+1}E_k.$$

Hence $E_k \in \langle E_{k+1}\rangle_{m+1}$ as required. □

References

[1] A. Berele, A. Regev, Hook Young diagrams with applications to combinatorics and to representations of Lie superalgebras, Adv. Math. 64(2) (1987) 118-175.

[2] R. Brauer, On algebras which are connected with the semisimple continuous groups, Ann. of Math. 38(4) (1937) 857-872.

[3] C. Carmeli, L. Caston, R. Fioresi, Mathematical Foundations of Supersymmetry, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2011.

[4] A. Cox, M. De Visscher, and P. Martin. The blocks of the Brauer algebra in characteristic zero. *Represent. Theory* **13** (2009) 272–308.

[5] S. Doty, J. Hu, SchurWeyl duality for orthogonal groups. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **98** (3) (2009) 679–713.

[6] P. Deligne, G.I. Lehrer, R.B. Zhang, The first fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthosymplectic super group, arXiv:1508.04202.
[7] P. Deligne, J. Morgan, Notes on Supersymmetry (following Joseph Bernstein), Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 41-97.
[8] K. Erdmann, J.A. Green, M. Schocker, Polynomial Representations of GL_n: with an Appendix on Schensted Correspondence and Littelmann Paths, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
[9] M. Ehrig, C. Stroppel, Schur-Weyl duality for the Brauer algebra and the ortho-symplectic Lie superalgebra, to appear in Math. Z. arXiv:1412.7853.
[10] M. Ehrig, C. Stroppel, Koszul gradings on Brauer algebras, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, 2015: rnv267v1-42.
[11] W. Fulton, J. Harris, Representation Theory, A First Course, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 129, Readings in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag New York, 1991.
[12] R. Goodman, N.R. Wallach, Symmetry, Representations, and Invariants, Springer New York, 2009.
[13] J. Hu, Specht filtrations and tensor spaces for the Brauer algebra, J. Algebraic Combin. 28 (2) (2008) 281-312.
[14] J. Hu, Z.K. Xiao, On a theorem of Lehrer and Zhang, Doc. Math. 17 (2012) 245-270.
[15] G. James, A. Kerber, The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 16, Addison-Wesley, 1981.
[16] A. Joyal, R. Street, Braided tensor categories, Adv. Math. 102(1) (1993) 20-78.
[17] V. Kac, Lie superalgebras, Adv. Math. 26(1) (1977) 8-96.
[18] G.I. Lehrer, R.B. Zhang, The second fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthogonal group, Ann. of Math. 176 (2012) 2031-2054.
[19] G.I. Lehrer, R.B. Zhang, The Brauer category and invariant theory, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 17 (2015) 2311-2351.
[20] G.I. Lehrer, R.B. Zhang, The first fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthosymplectic supergroup, arXiv:1401.7395.
[21] G.I. Lehrer, R.B. Zhang, The second fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthosymplectic supergroup, arXiv:1407.1058.
[22] G.I. Lehrer, R.B. Zhang, Invariants of the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra and super Pfaffians, arXiv:1507.01329.
[23] I.G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, Oxford mathematical monographs, Clarendon Press, 1998.
[24] C. Raicu, Products of young symmetrizers and ideals in the generic tensor algebra, J. Algebraic Combin. 39(2) (2014) 247-270.
[25] A. Sergeev, An analog of the classical invariant theory for Lie superalgebras. I, Michigan Math. J. 49(1) (2001) 113-146.
[26] A. Sergeev, An analog of the classical invariant theory for Lie superalgebras. II, Michigan Math. J. 49(1) (2001) 147-168.

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
E-mail address: yang91@mail.ustc.edu.cn